

The Crossing of Royal Roads

— a Census of Structural Interpretation (Papers 63–99), and the One Discipline of “One Word, Distinct Roots”

— [Paper 100]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan

September 2026 (2nd Edition)

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Abstract

This is the corpus’s **hundredth** paper, and a single map — a **census of structural interpretation (63–99)**: which royal-road giants crossed which threads of the corpus, and by which **root**. The paper makes explicit the **one discipline** that ran through the whole voyage: “**one word, distinct roots**” (Papers 48, 58 and 86). The same numbers (**4π , 2, $1/4$**), the same words (curvature, entropy, time, gauge, dimension, inverse problem), the same persons (Leibniz, Poincaré, Weyl) recur in the corpus, but many of them are **distinct roots that merely share a value or a word**, and **distinct roots are left as distinct**. With this discipline the paper lists the royal-road giants by **root family**. It then confirms the **two lineages** the corpus stands upon — the geometric mainstream (Riemann → Clifford → Weyl → Cartan → Hopf) and the integral-brain / inverse-problem lineage (Leibniz → Faraday → Poincaré → Boltzmann → Noether → Mandelbrot). Finally it states the corpus’s stance **facing forward**: what the corpus seeks is **not one equation (a theory of everything) but one map**. To honour distinct roots as distinct, and to **place open problems (such as the past hypothesis) correctly without solving them** — this is the honesty that ran through a hundred papers.

Scope of this paper (scope note): This paper is a **methodological synthesis and map** of the corpus (Papers 63–99), a structural interpretation (Layer 2). It contains no new mathematics and no new prediction, and it is not a new theory unifying the results — the core of the corpus is not to unify distinct roots. The census is a **map of connections**, not a claim of identity. Open problems such as the past hypothesis are left open. “Universe OS” is a Layer-3 non-science lens, not a claim of mechanism. Relation to earlier papers: the content of each row lies in the papers listed in the table (Papers 63–99); the central discipline comes from Papers 48, 58 and 86, and the spirit of the layering from Paper 95. **What this paper adds** is to lay out the royal-road crossings of Papers 63–99 by **root family** in a single table, with a one-line note in each row on what is not to be conflated, and to gather the giants into **two lineages**.

1. Introduction: At the Hundredth

This is the corpus's hundredth paper. From the sixty-third onward, the corpus walked by one method — **taking royal-road giants (established, sharp mathematics and physics) as fresh lenses of interpretation for the corpus's questions.**

Gauss–Bonnet, Hopf, Noether, Galois, Euler, Ignatowski, Riemann, Cartan, Faraday, Mandelbrot, Poincaré, Weyl, Leibniz, Boltzmann, Calderón — these were “royal roads” for the corpus, and their tools were not borrowings but **light drawn in with respect.**

At the hundredth, this paper leaves the **method and discipline** that ran through the voyage as a single census (a map): what connected, what was a distinct root, and what was left unsolved. With a map, those who come after (and one's future self) can survey where the road went.

2. The Three Layers

The corpus has separated every claim into **three layers**. This layering is the backbone through all hundred papers.

- **Layer 1 (royal road, rigorous):** established facts of mathematics and physics. The verifiable skeleton placed on Zenodo.
- **Layer 2 (lens, interpretation):** a structural interpretation applying royal-road tools to the corpus's questions. Not a new theorem or prediction, but a **way of seeing**. Much of 63–99, this paper included, lives here.
- **Layer 3 (vision, non-science):** the author's private world-picture, the Universe OS. It carries the **non-science label**, being neither mechanism nor prediction. Quarantined in the vision box, and not mixed with Layer 1.

Newton's “hypotheses non fingo” — not asserting causes, describing relations — is the spirit of this layering (Paper 95). To keep the layers is the corpus's humility.

3. The Central Discipline: “One Word, Distinct Roots”

Standard Fact 3.1 (The corpus's central discipline (Papers 48, 58 and 86))

The same **values** (4π , 2, $1/4$, the exponent 5...), the same **words** (curvature, entropy, time, gauge, dimension, action, inverse problem), the same **persons** recur in the corpus. But they are often **distinct roots that merely share a value, a word, or a name**. Resonance (mere similarity) is a **signature, not a proof**. Therefore — **separate distinct roots as distinct, and do not unify them casually**. This is the corpus's central discipline, a single backbone through a hundred papers.

Examples: 4π is a solid angle (Paper 02) and also the door of the Euler characteristic ($4\pi = 2\pi\chi(S^2)$, Paper 67), but these are distinct roots. The “2” can be Born’s 2 or the Hopf map’s 2 (in Paper 68 the same root; elsewhere separated as distinct). “Curvature” is a distinct instance for spacetime, velocity space, sphere, and gauge field (Papers 91, 92 and 96). “Inverse problem” is different mathematics for scattering and boundary-value (Papers 89 and 99). “Time” is a distinct root for proper time, thermal time, and the coarse-graining arrow (Papers 82, 97 and 98). Neglect this separation and the corpus falls to numerology. The separation is what keeps the corpus on the side of science.

4. The Census: The Crossing of Royal Roads (Papers 63–99)

Below is a map of the royal-road giants and tools, arranged by **root family**. Each row’s “one line of discipline” states **what is not to be conflated** in that connection.

Root family	Giant / tool	Papers	One line of discipline
Topology	Gauss–Bonnet / Hopf / index thm / Gleason	67, 68, 70, 71	$4\pi = 2\pi\chi$ is a topological root. Born=Hopf's 2 is one root; other 2's distinct.
Symmetry	Noether / Galois / Weyl (gauge)	79, 80, 85, 96	Global→conservation, local→interaction, discrete (Galois): each a distinct root.
Dimension	n=2 of inverse-square / census of special dims	64, 69	Topological, special-integer, fractal (94) dims are distinct roots. No numerology.
Curvature	Riemann (intrinsic) / Cartan (forms)	63, 91, 92	Spacetime, velocity-space, sphere, gauge-field curvature: one concept, distinct instances.
Grammar of action	Euler (least action) / action = language	84, 87	$\delta S = 0$ is a local condition, not teleology (97). One grammar $\neq$ one equation.
Arrow / coarse-graining	Liouville / friction / Boltzmann	75, 77, 78, 88, 98	Coarse-graining arrow explains; the past hypothesis is unsolved. Two entropies, distinct roots.
Phase space	symplectic T*M / recurrence	76, 80, 81	Recurrence time exceeds the age of the universe. Micro conserved; arrow in coarse-graining.
Scaffolding / convention	Poincaré (conventionalism) / UG	66, 72, 95	The value of c is a convention; the finiteness of c is a fact. Convention $\neq$ anything goes.
Inverse problem	Zero-Tension / Calderón (EIT)	89, 99	Scattering (GLM) and boundary-value (Calderón): same stance, different mathematics = distinct roots.
Special speed	Ignatowski	83	Existence of an invariant speed is a fact; constancy of light is the finite-branch consequence. Distinct roots.
Emergent gravity / holography	causal-sphere entropy / emergent-gravity umbrella	65, 73, 74	$S = A/4$ and coarse-graining entropy are linked only by the holographic bound.
Relational time	Leibniz / Rovelli (Renko chart)	82, 97	Relationalism lives; teleology (the best) is rejected. Distinct roots even within one person.
Weak assumptions → structure	Yamabe / Hilbert's fifth	90	Weak assumptions → Lie group is the general form of Ignatowski (83). Same lineage, different stage.

Roots of necessity / grammar	census of four roots / language of necessity	86, 87	Topology, symmetry, algebra, dimension not unified. Leave the four as four.
---------------------------------	---	--------	--

5. The Two Lineages

The royal-road giants gather, within the corpus, into **two lineages**.

(A) The geometric mainstream: Riemann (intrinsic curvature, 91) → Clifford → Einstein → **Weyl** (gauge, 96) → **Cartan** (differential forms, 92) → **Hopf** (fibration, 67, 68) → Wheeler → Penrose. The mainstream of “matter = curvature, structure = geometry” (the road on which the corpus places itself — not string theory).

(B) The integral-brain / inverse-problem lineage: **Leibniz** (relationalism, calculus, 97) → **Faraday** (the discovery of the field, 93) → **Poincaré** (conventionalism, recurrence, 95, 81) → **Boltzmann** (statistics, 98) → **Noether** (symmetry, 80) → **Mandelbrot** (fractals, 94), and **Calderón** (inverse problems, 99). Zoom-out (synthesis) and reading the cause from the effect — the lineage of the stance the author drew from angling and the markets.

The two intersect. Hopf’s circle is the fiber of Weyl’s $U(1)$ gauge (67, 96); the uniqueness of Calderón’s inverse problem (the boundary determines the interior) resonates with the $n = 2$ uniqueness of Paper 01 in that little information determines a structure — though it is a different theorem, and a distinct root (Paper 99). The two lineages are the mountain ranges and the river systems of one terrain — the corpus.

6. The Honesty of Not Solving, and One Map

The corpus keeps the discipline of **admiring hard problems without attempting them**. Among a hundred papers, the greatest honesty was **to say plainly “we do not solve this”** — the origin of the arrow of time (the past hypothesis, Paper 98) is an open problem to which the corpus, too, has no answer. Half light (coarse-graining), half darkness (the initial condition). To record the darkness as darkness was the honesty of science.

And, facing forward, let it be said plainly: what the corpus seeks is **not one equation (a formula explaining everything in a single stroke)**. What it seeks is **one map** — a map of which royal road crosses which thread by which root, drawn while honouring distinct roots as distinct. It refuses the lure of unification (the pleasure of making everything one) and leaves diverse roots diverse. That is the stance the corpus confirmed by walking a hundred papers. A map is not a declaration of conquest but a record of the journey.

The author's personal reflection (Layer 3; labelled non-scientific / not a claim of mechanism)

In the corpus's lens (metaphor), the theorems of these royal-road giants feel like **separate windows into different subsystems** of the Universe OS — a window of curvature, a window of symmetry, a window of the inverse problem. From every window one seems to see the same single OS, but **this carries the non-science label**. The sense of “one OS” is intuition, not mechanism or prediction. Indeed a hundred papers taught the opposite — **the windows are separate, and do not fuse into one pane of glass**. And yet, deep in the author's chest there is a quiet awe: that roots so diverse should, each in its own place, support the world so exactly. Before that fact, one grows humble. Beneath a hundred papers' voyage ran this awe, and a small wish to cherish the Earth and its people. To all the royal-road giants, and to you who read to the end, deep gratitude and respect are recorded.

7. Conclusion

A hundred papers' voyage has been gathered into one map. What the corpus did was to take royal-road giants as fresh lenses for its questions, to separate their connections into three layers, and to distinguish them by the discipline of **one word, distinct roots**. 4π , curvature, time, the inverse problem — each is a distinct root that shares a name, and is left as a distinct root. Resonance is a signature, not a proof.

And what the corpus seeks is not one equation but one map. To honour distinct roots as distinct, and to place open problems correctly without solving them — this is the honesty that ran through a hundred papers. If, borrowing the light the royal-road giants left, the vast terrain of the world has been drawn a little more truly, then — in the margin of that map, with a quiet awe and a small wish for the Earth and its people, the hundredth address is returned, here.

Revision Record

2nd edition (September 2026): brought the format into line with the current template (the positioning and scope are gathered in the scope note directly after the abstract, and the section “Scope (what is shown, and what is not)” is removed). In Section 5, “Calderón's inverse problem inherits the spirit of Poincaré's uniqueness” is corrected, in line with Paper 99, to “resonates with the $n = 2$ uniqueness of Paper 01, but is a different theorem and a distinct root”. Two renderings in the English version are corrected (fishing becomes angling; the one that places itself in the mainstream is the corpus). The references, which had bundled several papers into single entries, are split into one entry per paper with actual titles and DOIs (Papers 01, 02, 48, 58 and 63–99, cited in the text and the table).

References

1. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Uniqueness Theorem of $\mathbf{n} = 2$ for Spherically Symmetric Density Distributions* [Paper 01]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21403186
2. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The 4π Mass Cancellation Theorem* [Paper 02]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21403931
3. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Pfaffian's $2^n n!$ Is One Block* [Paper 48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21602525
4. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Agreement of the Factors 2 Is a Case of Distinct Roots* [Paper 58]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21597747
5. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Velocity–Spacetime Curvature Duality* [Paper 63]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21606250
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Special-Dimension Distribution Theorem* [Paper 64]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21616221
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Bekenstein–Hawking Entropy of the Causal Sphere and c^5* [Paper 65]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21616466
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Velocity-Space Curvature and Gravitational Redshift* [Paper 66]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21616607
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Euler-Characteristic Origin of the 4π Patch* [Paper 67]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21642170
10. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Qubit as a Hopf Fibration* [Paper 68]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21642238
11. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Dimension Census* [Paper 69]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21650011
12. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Home of the Constants* [Paper 70]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21650347
13. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Born's Exponent and Gleason's Theorem* [Paper 71]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21650577
14. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Reframing the Cosmological Constant* [Paper 72]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21650934
15. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The “ $1/4$ ” in Black-Hole Entropy* [Paper 73]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21666086
16. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Yamagishi Patch within Emergent Gravity* [Paper 74]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21670102
17. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Arrow of Time and the Growing Causal Sphere* [Paper 75]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21670183
18. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *A Covariant Symplectic Structure for Velocity-Space Curvature and the Spacetime Metric on Phase Space T^*M* [Paper 76]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21722145
19. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Liouville's Theorem and the Arrow of Time: Fine-Grained Conservation and Coarse-Grained Growth* [Paper 77]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21722473
20. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Friction and Dissipation as Coarse-Graining* [Paper 78]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21731937
21. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Hidden Symmetry Unique to $n=2$* [Paper 79]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21732820
22. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Conservation Laws Are the Shadow of Symmetry* [Paper 80]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21732957
23. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Poincaré Recurrence Theorem and the Arrow of Time* [Paper 81]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21733062
24. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Time as a Renko Chart* [Paper 82]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21735260
25. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Why Does a “Maximum Speed” Exist?* [Paper 83]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21741190
26. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Principle of Least Action* [Paper 84]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21741231
27. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *From Galois to Noether* [Paper 85]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21741344

28. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Four Roots of Necessity* [Paper 86]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21744839
29. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Action Principle as the Description Language of Physical Law* [Paper 87]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21747287
30. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Two Entropies, Not to Be Conflated* [Paper 88]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21747809
31. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Zero-Tension and the Inverse Problem* [Paper 89]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21748664
32. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Continuous Symmetry Emerges from Weak Assumptions* [Paper 90]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758361
33. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Curvature Is Intrinsic* [Paper 91]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758406
34. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Shared Alphabet* [Paper 92]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758486
35. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Discovery of the Field* [Paper 93]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758537
36. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *“Dimension” Is Not One Thing* [Paper 94]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758581
37. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Convention and Fact* [Paper 95]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758621
38. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Gauge Principle* [Paper 96]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758671
39. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Time and Space as Relation* [Paper 97]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758697
40. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Past Hypothesis* [Paper 98]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758739
41. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Reading the Interior from the Boundary* [Paper 99]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758790

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)