

Flowing Geometry to Canonical Form — Hamilton's Ricci Flow, Perelman, and "Dynamical Uniqueness" — [Paper 103]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Abstract

At the foundation of the corpus lies **"uniqueness"** — only $n = 2$ makes $\rho = r^{-n}$ give a radius-independent mass growth (Paper 01); the reading of the 3D/4D Poincaré conjecture (Papers 07/08). All of these are **static uniqueness**: one **proves** that "the canonical object is unique." This paper visits the masters who give uniqueness **another face** — **dynamical uniqueness**: Richard Hamilton and Grigori Perelman. Hamilton's **Ricci flow** $\partial_t g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$ makes the metric itself **evolve in time**, smoothing out the bumps of curvature and **flowing geometry toward a canonical form (constant curvature)** — a kind of **heat equation for the metric**. Perelman controlled this flow with monotone **entropy functionals** and with **surgery** that cuts off singularities, and solved the **3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture** (and the geometrization conjecture). The point of this paper is to **reread the corpus's jig (uniqueness) as a "flow"**: against "the canonical object is unique" (static), "any object flows to the canonical form" (dynamical). But the discipline is strict. **(1) Separation of distinct roots**: the **algebraic uniqueness** of Paper 01 (pointwise, r^α constant iff $\alpha = 0$) and the **dynamical uniqueness** of Ricci flow (PDE convergence to a canonical metric) share a word but are **distinct roots**. So too the Yamabe flow (the conformal cousin, Paper 90) and Perelman's entropy (a monotone geometric quantity, a distinct root from the thermodynamic entropy of Paper 98). **(2) Do not solve what is not solved**: 3D is solved, but the **smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is open** — the corpus does not attempt it (admire, don't attempt). **Scope**: a Layer-2 structural interpretation of established geometric analysis (Ricci flow); no new mathematics and no new prediction. The Universe OS is a Layer-3 non-science lens.

Positioning of this paper (discipline): This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established geometric analysis (Hamilton's Ricci flow; Perelman's solution of the 3D Poincaré and geometrization conjectures). It contains no new mathematics and no new prediction. The point is to reread the corpus's uniqueness jig (Papers 01/08) as **"dynamical uniqueness (flowing to canonical form)"**, and to **separate distinct roots** (algebraic uniqueness / dynamical uniqueness / the Yamabe flow 90 / Perelman's entropy vs the thermodynamic entropy 98). **3D is solved; the smooth 4D Poincaré is open = the corpus does not attempt it**. "Universe OS" is a Layer-3 non-science lens, not a claim of mechanism.

1. Introduction: Uniqueness Has Two Faces

At the root of the corpus there was always **uniqueness**. The uniqueness of $n = 2$ that forces the inverse-square law (Paper 01), its generalization $n = d - 1$ (Paper 08), the reading of the 3D/4D Poincaré conjecture (07/08). These are **static uniqueness** — one **proves**, on the spot, that "the canonical object (the unique exponent, the unique sphere) is unique."

But uniqueness has another face — **dynamical uniqueness**: "any object flows to the canonical form." This was given by Richard Hamilton (the creator of Ricci flow) and Grigori Perelman (who solved the 3D Poincaré conjecture with it). This paper rereads the corpus's static jig as their **flow**. It builds no new theorem. It places the two uniquenesses side by side, correctly, as distinct roots.

2. Hamilton's Ricci Flow: A Heat Equation for the Metric

Standard fact (Ricci flow, Hamilton 1982)

Richard Hamilton introduced an equation that moves the metric g itself in time:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature. Because of the sign on the right, **regions of high curvature contract and regions of low curvature expand** — just as **the heat equation smooths out bumps of temperature**, Ricci flow **smooths out bumps of curvature, evening geometry toward a uniform "canonical form" (constant curvature)**. Hamilton showed that a 3-manifold with positive Ricci curvature converges under this flow to the **round sphere S^3** .

This is a deep shift. Usually geometry studies a "given shape" statically. Hamilton built a device that **evolves the shape in time, carrying it to a simpler canonical form**. The "bumps" of curvature are diffused, like heat, until flat — the idea of **"flowing" geometry** was born here.

3. Perelman: Solving the 3D Poincaré with Entropy and Surgery

Standard fact (Perelman 2002–2003)

Ricci flow develops **singularities** (necks pinching thin, etc.), and cannot simply be continued. Grigori Perelman introduced **entropy functionals that behave monotonically** along the flow (the $\mathcal{F}$ - and $\mathcal{W}$ -entropies) and a **no-local-collapsing theorem** to control singularities, and continued the flow by "**Ricci flow with surgery**," cutting off the thinned parts and re-gluing. Thus he proved **Thurston's geometrization conjecture** and, as a special case, the **3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture** — "a simply connected closed 3-manifold is S^3 ."

What happened here is precisely the **realization of dynamical uniqueness**. The **static statement** "a simply connected closed 3-manifold is the unique S^3 " was proven by Perelman through the **dynamical process** "flow that manifold by Ricci flow and it will inevitably arrive at S^3 ." Uniqueness is at once the **conclusion** of a proof and the **endpoint** of a flow.

4. Dynamical Uniqueness: Rereading the Corpus's Jig as a Flow

Observation 4.1 (static uniqueness and dynamical uniqueness)

The corpus's uniqueness jig (Papers 01/08) has been **static**: " r^α is constant for all r only if $\alpha = 0$ " — it **judges** canonicity on the spot (an algebraic condition). Ricci flow gives it a **dynamical** sibling: instead of judging canonicity, it **carries any initial shape to the canonical form by time evolution**.

- **Static uniqueness** (Papers 01/08): **identifies** the canonical object at one point (an algebraic condition).
- **Dynamical uniqueness** (Ricci flow): **flows** any object into the canonical form (PDE convergence).

Both aim at the same "uniqueness / canonical form," but **the means (pointwise judgment vs convergence of a flow) are entirely different**.

This means one more **tool** is added to the corpus's toolbox. To show "the canonical thing is unique" there are two roads — **prove it unique on the spot**, or **show everything flows there**. The latter (flow) is a **dynamical viewpoint** the corpus did not have before. But this is a "rereading" (a lens), not a claim that the corpus has newly proven anything with Ricci flow.

5. Separation of Distinct Roots: Do Not Mix Four "Canonical / Unique / Entropy"

Observation 5.1 (distinct roots that share a word)

This paper separates the following four as **distinct roots**.

(a) **Algebraic uniqueness** (Paper 01, $n = 2$): a pointwise algebraic condition.

(b) **Dynamical uniqueness** (Ricci flow): PDE convergence to a canonical metric.

(c) **Yamabe flow** (the cousin of Paper 90): $\partial_t g = -(R - r)g$ flows **within a conformal class only** to constant scalar curvature. A **different flow = distinct root** from Ricci flow (which moves the whole metric), yet of the same "flowing to canonical curvature" family. The **dynamical, parabolic sibling** of Paper 90 (the Yamabe problem = static, elliptic).

(d) **Perelman's entropy** (the $\mathcal{W}$ -functional): a **geometric quantity** monotone along the flow, a **distinct root from the thermodynamic entropy (Paper 98) and the coarse-graining entropy (Paper 77)** — though the word "entropy" is shared, its origin is a monotone geometric functional (a Lyapunov function), not a count of statistical-mechanical states.

Item (d) especially needs care. Jumping to "Perelman too treated the arrow of time with entropy" would cross wires with Paper 98 (Boltzmann's past hypothesis). Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ is a **control device for the flow**, not the arrow of time of the universe. Do not connect by wordplay; separate by root — the corpus's foremost discipline holds here too.

6. Honestly: 3D Is Solved, 4D Is Still Open (and a Way of Life)

Draw the scope honestly. What Perelman solved is the **3-dimensional** Poincaré conjecture. The **smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture remains open** — topologically it is solved (Freedman), but at the level of differentiable structure it is open. Ricci flow is vastly harder in four dimensions, and the corpus **does not attempt** it (admire, don't attempt). That Paper 08 "read" the 4D Poincaré was an **interpretive lens**, not a proof — this paper reaffirms that line.

One more thing to record honestly. For this historic achievement, Perelman **declined both the Fields Medal (2006) and the Clay Institute's one-million-dollar Millennium Prize (2010)**. Not honour, not reward, but **the essence alone** — this stance is, alongside Penrose on the lineage map, a real-world model of "following the essence without seeking approval," and it resonates quietly with the author's stance as an independent researcher.

7. Connection to the Corpus

Ricci flow crosses several threads of the corpus. (a) **The uniqueness jig (Papers 01/08)**: it gives static uniqueness a dynamical sibling (flowing to canonical form). (b) **Yamabe (Paper 90)**: the Yamabe flow is the conformal cousin of Ricci

flow, so Paper 90 (the static, elliptic Yamabe problem) and this paper (the dynamical, parabolic flow) are **distinct roots of the same "canonical curvature" family** — the dynamical sequel to Paper 90's "weak assumptions force structure." (c) **Curvature (Paper 91)**: Ricci flow is an equation that **evolves** Riemann's intrinsic curvature (91) in time — curvature set in motion. (d) **The Poincaré reading (Papers 07/08)**: Perelman's proof is the royal-road **substance** of the Poincaré conjecture that the corpus read as a "lens" — an instance of the discipline of not confusing lens with substance. (e) **The past hypothesis / arrow (Papers 98/77)**: Perelman's entropy is a distinct root from those thermodynamic entropies (beware the shared word).

The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a claim of mechanism)

In the corpus's lens (metaphor), the very process by which the Universe OS renders the world feels like **a Ricci-flow-like process of "smoothing the bumps and flowing to a canonical form"** — an initially disordered geometry settling, with time, into a smooth shape. But **this carries the non-science label**. "The OS flows geometry" is a metaphor that carries intuition, not a mechanism or a prediction. **What is certain here is only that Ricci flow is established mathematics carrying geometry to canonical form, and that the 3D Poincaré was solved with it.** And what moves the author most is not the formula but Perelman's **way of life** — he declined the prize and the money, solved for the essence alone, and quietly walked away. Awe as fuel, discipline as rudder. The one who did not make honour his rudder controlled the flow the farthest. To the masters of dynamical uniqueness, and to a mathematician who looked only at the essence, quiet respect is recorded.

8. Scope / Conclusion

What is shown: Ricci flow $\partial_t g = -2\text{Ric}$ (a heat equation for the metric, §2); Perelman's solution of the 3D Poincaré and geometrization by entropy and surgery (§3); rereading the corpus's uniqueness jig as "dynamical uniqueness (flowing to canonical form)" (§4); the four distinct roots (algebraic uniqueness, dynamical uniqueness, the Yamabe flow 90, Perelman's entropy vs the thermodynamic 98) (§5).

What is not shown (restraint): the smooth 4D Poincaré is open = not attempted (admire); the corpus proves nothing new with Ricci flow (a lens, not the substance); do not confuse Perelman's entropy with the arrow of time (98); no new mathematics or prediction (Layer 2); the OS is Layer-3.

Uniqueness has two faces — the static face that **proves on the spot** "the canonical thing is unique" (Papers 01/08), and the dynamical face that **shows by time evolution** "any object flows to the canonical form" (Ricci flow). Hamilton made the flow; Perelman controlled it with entropy and surgery and solved the 3D Poincaré. What this paper did is not a new claim but to place a **dynamical sibling** beside the corpus's uniqueness jig, and to separate as distinct roots the algebraic, the dynamical, the conformal (Yamabe 90), and the entropy (98). Separating exactly what is solved (3D) from what is admired while still open (4D) — at the entrance of dynamical uniqueness, the hundred-and-third address is returned.

References

1. Hamilton, R. S. (1982). *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 17, 255.
2. Perelman, G. (2002). *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*. arXiv:math/0211159.
3. Perelman, G. (2003). *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*. arXiv:math/0303109.
4. Morgan, J. & Tian, G. (2007). *Ricci Flow and the Poincaré Conjecture*. AMS/Clay.
5. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Uniqueness of $n=2 / n=d-1$* [Papers 01/08]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21403186; 10.5281/zenodo.21404419
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Continuous Symmetry Emerges from Weak Assumptions* [Paper 90]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21758361.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Curvature Is Intrinsic* [Paper 91]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758406
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Past Hypothesis* [Paper 98]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21758739

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)