

The Exception Only in Four Dimensions — Donaldson's Exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$ and One More Entry in the Overdetermination of "Why 3+1 Dimensions" — [Paper 105]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Abstract

Paper 69 drew "why 3+1 dimensions" as an **overdetermination census** — several mutually independent criteria all point to four dimensions. This paper **adds one more, deeply independent root** to that census: Simon Donaldson's **exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$** . A stunning fact: the "smooth structure (differentiable structure)" of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is **uniquely determined for every dimension $n \neq 4$** . But **only $n = 4$** admits **uncountably many mutually non-diffeomorphic smooth structures**, even though topologically it is the same $\mathbb{R}^4$. Four dimensions is **exceptionally special** in a deep sense of differential topology. Moreover, the **tool that exposed this singularity was gauge theory** — Donaldson constrained the intersection forms of 4-manifolds using **Yang–Mills instantons** (the moduli of self-dual connections) (Donaldson's theorem) and, matched against Freedman's topological classification, derived the existence of exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$. Here the corpus's threads converge: gauge (Paper 96), Hopf (67), Dirac/Clifford (70/104) are mobilized in the proof of four dimensions' exceptionality. The discipline: **(1) distinct roots** — this "4" (the exception of smooth structures) is an **independent, distinct root** from the other "reasons 4 is chosen" collected in Paper 69 (Maxwell's conformal invariance, graviton DOF, the factor-2 crossing, χ even), and hence it **strengthens the overdetermination**. **(2) Topological vs differentiable, distinct roots** — Freedman (topological, continuous) and Donaldson (differentiable, smooth) are, for the same four dimensions, **different categories = distinct roots** (the same line as Paper 103). **(3) Admire** — it is not a proof that "it must be 4" (a descriptive overdetermination); do not link the number 4 by wordplay (Papers 94/101). **Scope**: a Layer-2 structural interpretation of established differential topology; no new mathematics and no new prediction. The Universe OS is a Layer-3 non-science lens.

Positioning of this paper (discipline): This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established differential topology (Donaldson's theorem, exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$, Freedman's topological classification). It contains no new mathematics and no new prediction. The point is to **add an independent root (the exception of smooth structures only in four dimensions) to the overdetermination census of Paper 69**, and to **separate topology (Freedman) and differentiability (Donaldson) as distinct roots. It is not a proof that "it must be four dimensions"** (a descriptive overdetermination, admire-don't-attempt); do not link the number 4 to the corpus's other numbers by wordplay (Papers 94/101). "Universe OS" is a Layer-3 non-science lens, not a claim of mechanism.

1. Introduction: Four Dimensions Is, Somehow, Special

In Paper 69, the corpus drew "why is our universe 3+1 dimensional" not as one decisive reason but as **overdetermination** — several **independent** criteria (the conformal invariance of Maxwell's equations, the degrees of freedom of the graviton, the factor-2 crossing of Paper 58, the Euler characteristic χ of the sphere being even...) all point to four dimensions. Not one coincidence, but a state in which **several independent conditions force the same answer**.

This paper adds **one more, especially deep and independent root** to that census. It comes not from physics but from pure **differential topology** — Simon Donaldson's (1957–) **exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$** . Four dimensions differs from every other dimension in the deepest reaches of mathematics itself. This paper builds no new theorem. It correctly incorporates this one entry into Paper 69's jig (the overdetermination census).

2. Donaldson's Theorem and Exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$

Standard fact (the exception only in $\mathbb{R}^4$)

The **smooth structure (differentiable structure)** of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ — the agreement about which coordinate changes count as smooth — is **uniquely determined, up to diffeomorphism, for every dimension $n \neq 4$** . But **$n = 4$ alone is the exception**: even though it is topologically the same as the standard $\mathbb{R}^4$ (homeomorphic), it admits **uncountably many (continuum-many) mutually non-diffeomorphic smooth structures** — the **exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$** . In 1983 Simon Donaldson proved a theorem imposing a strong constraint on the **intersection form** of a closed smooth 4-manifold (if positive-definite, it is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{Z}$), which, matched against Michael Freedman's topological classification, yielded the existence of exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$.

This is a shocking fact that betrays naive intuition. Nothing seems simpler than "flat space $\mathbb{R}^n$." Indeed, for **$n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, \dots$** the smooth structure of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is unique. But at **exactly four dimensions** — the spatial dimensions of our

spacetime plus one, or the dimension of spacetime itself — flat space alone carries **infinitely many "hidden" smoothnesses**. Among the dimensions, only 4 is split.

3. The Tool That Exposed Four Dimensions Was Gauge Theory

Observation 3.1 (instantons mirror the singularity of four dimensions)

The tool Donaldson used to expose the exceptionality of four dimensions was physics-born **Yang–Mills gauge theory**. In particular, by studying the moduli space of **instantons** (self-dual connections $F = \star F$), he constrained the shape of smooth 4-manifolds. The instanton number is counted by the second Chern class $\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \text{tr}(F \wedge F)$, and self-duality $F = \star F$ works essentially **only in dimension 4** (the $\star$ of a 2-form returns a 2-form). That is, **the geometry of the gauge field itself behaves specially only in four dimensions**.

Here the corpus's threads converge at one point. **The gauge principle (Paper 96, Weyl)**, the curvature of its connection $F = dA$ (Paper 92), the normalization $16\pi^2$ (Papers 45/70), and the four dimensions where self-duality lives — these converge, in Donaldson's proof, on the **single fact of four dimensions' exceptionality**. Four dimensions is special not out of physical convenience but in the deep places of **gauge-field geometry and differential topology**. Discipline, though: whether the $16\pi^2$ appearing here shares a root with the corpus's other $16\pi^2$ (Paper 45, etc.) is **not settled** — record it as resonance, do not identify (Papers 48/70).

4. Topology and Differentiability Are Distinct Roots

Observation 4.1 (Freedman vs Donaldson = different categories)

The story of four dimensions has two masters (both Fields Medalists, 1986). **Michael Freedman** classified **topological** 4-manifolds (the continuous, homeomorphism level). **Simon Donaldson** imposed **differentiable** constraints (the smooth, diffeomorphism level). Matching their results yields **"4-manifolds that exist topologically but cannot be made smooth,"** and **exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$** . The point: **topology (continuous) and differentiability (smooth) are different categories = distinct roots**, and only in four dimensions do the two **diverge greatly**. For the same "four dimensions," the topological face and the differentiable face are different things — this is the same line drawn in Paper 103 (the 3D Poincaré is solved / the smooth 4D is open).

This distinct root matters. To say "four dimensions is special" in one breath conceals a difference in meaning — **special topologically, or special differentially?** The shock of exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$ is that **topology and differentiability diverge**

dramatically only in four dimensions. The corpus does not mix these two categories — it says precisely "4 is special," including in which sense.

5. Adding One Entry to the Overdetermination Census (J3)

Observation 5.1 (an independent addition to the census of 69)

Paper 69 gathered **mutually independent** criteria that select four dimensions and called it "overdetermination."

This paper adds **Donaldson's root**:

- (existing, from 69) Maxwell's conformal invariance in four dimensions / the graviton's degrees of freedom / the factor-2 crossing of Paper 58 / χ even ...
- **(new) the exception of smooth structures**: the smooth structure of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is non-unique only for $n = 4$ (exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$).

This new root is **independent in origin** from every other criterion (it comes from differential topology). So adding it **further strengthens the overdetermination** — "four dimensions" turns out to be a crossroads supported many times over, not only by reasons of physics but also by **reasons of mathematics itself**.

This is how to use the corpus's jig J3 (the overdetermination census). When a new independent root is found, verify that it is **truly independent** (a distinct root), then add it to the census. Donaldson's root fully qualifies. But — what is added is **the description "four dimensions is special from astonishingly many directions," not a proof that "it must be four dimensions"** (the same honest scope as Paper 69).

6. Connection to the Corpus

Donaldson crosses several threads of the corpus. **(a) The overdetermination census (Paper 69) = J3**: adding the exception of smooth structures only in four dimensions as an independent root to Paper 69 = the core of this paper. **(b) Gauge (Paper 96)**: the tool that exposed four dimensions' exceptionality was the instanton (self-dual connection) — the geometry of Weyl's gauge principle mirrors the singularity of four dimensions here. **(c) Hopf / Clifford (Papers 67/104)**: instantons are tied to gauge fields over $S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$ (the home of Hopf and Clifford). **(d) $16\pi^2$ / the index theorem (Papers 45/70)**: the instanton number = the second Chern class $\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \text{tr}(F \wedge F)$. Whether it shares a root with the corpus's other $16\pi^2$ is unsettled (resonance, not identity). **(e) Poincaré / Ricci flow (Paper 103)**: the openness of the smooth 4D Poincaré is part of this difficulty of differential topology = admire-don't-attempt. The distinct root of topology (Freedman) and differentiability (Donaldson) is the same line as 103.

The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a claim of mechanism)

In the corpus's lens (metaphor), to the question why the Universe OS renders the world in four dimensions (3+1), Donaldson seems to answer **using no physics at all, with mathematics alone: "four dimensions is intrinsically different."** Even flat space carries, only in four dimensions, infinitely many "hidden smoothnesses" — as if a "depth" absent from other dimensions were built into the stage of four dimensions alone. But **this carries the non-science label.** "The OS chose four dimensions" is a metaphor that carries intuition, not a mechanism or a prediction. **What is certain here is only the mathematical point that the smooth structure of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is non-unique only for $n = 4$.** And the corpus's discipline — do not switch this wonder into a proof that "therefore the universe MUST be four dimensions." Overdetermination is not a proof of necessity but **the description of a crossroads where astonishingly many independent reasons gather at the same answer.** To the master who illuminated the secret of four dimensions with mathematics rather than physics, quiet respect is recorded.

7. Scope / Conclusion

What is shown: exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$ — the smooth structure of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is non-unique only for $n = 4$ (§2); the tool that exposed it = gauge-theory instantons, self-duality working only in four dimensions (§3); topology (Freedman) and differentiability (Donaldson) are distinct roots (§4); adding an independent root to Paper 69's overdetermination census = J3 (§5).

What is not shown (restraint): it is not a proof that "it must be four dimensions" (descriptive overdetermination, admire); do not conflate topology and differentiability (distinct roots); do not link the number 4 to the corpus's other numbers by wordplay (94/101); do not claim the $16\pi^2$ shares a root (resonance, not identity, 48/70); no new mathematics or prediction (Layer 2); the OS is Layer-3.

Paper 69 drew "why 3+1 dimensions" as overdetermination. This paper added one deep root, independent of physics: the exception of smooth structures only in four dimensions. The smoothness of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is unique for $n \neq 4$ and uncountable only for $n = 4$ — and the tool that exposed it was the gauge-theory instanton, continuous with the corpus's gauge (96), Hopf (67), and index theorem (70). Topology (Freedman) and differentiability (Donaldson) are distinct roots, and only in four dimensions do the two diverge dramatically. What this paper did is not a new claim but to add an independent root correctly to the overdetermination census (J3) and to separate topology and differentiability as distinct roots. To the master who illuminated the secret of four dimensions with mathematics, the hundred-and-fifth address is returned.

References

1. Donaldson, S. K. (1983). *An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology*. J. Differential Geom. 18, 279.
2. Freedman, M. H. (1982). *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. 17, 357.

3. Donaldson, S. K. & Kronheimer, P. B. (1990). *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*. Oxford Univ. Press.
4. Gompf, R. & Stipsicz, A. (1999). *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*. AMS.
5. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Dimension Census* [Paper 69]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21650011.
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Gauge Principle* [Paper 96]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21758671.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Index Theorem [70] / Ricci Flow (Hamilton–Perelman) [103] / Clifford Algebra [104]*. Zenodo.

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)