

A Surface That Comes Back Inside Out Still Has an Area

— Area Has Distinct Roots: The Möbius Band Has an Area and No Area Form, and Dropping Orientation
Leaves the Total Curvature Exactly 2π — [Paper 226]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

A Möbius band has no front and no back. And it has an area all the same. This paper separates in numbers what breaks from what does not. **NO NEW MATHEMATICAL THEOREM AND NO NEW LAW IS CLAIMED.** First, the normal comes back reversed. Carried once round the centre line, the beginning and the end have an inner product of -1.000000000000 — the same at 200, 2000 and 20000 steps (Section 2). Second, the area is there all the same. Refining the mesh gives 25.4022, 25.4124, 25.4130433381 and 25.4130750300, settling on one number (Section 3). Third, going round twice restores it. On the double cover the inner product is $+1.000000000000$ and the area is exactly twice — orientation was not lost but is unavailable downstairs (Section 4). Fourth, the total curvature lives on a closed one-sided surface. On a Klein bottle in four dimensions ($V = 384$, $E = 1152$, $F = 768$) the angular defects total 0 while the faces could never be oriented consistently (Section 5). Fifth, this is the core. On the projective plane ($V = 6$, $E = 15$, $F = 10$, $\chi = 1$) the total is **$6.283185307180 = 2\pi$** , one-sided and not zero — and the total equals $2\pi V - \pi F$, settled by counting before any coordinates are chosen (Section 7).

Scope of this paper (scope note): NO NEW MATHEMATICAL THEOREM AND NO NEW LAW IS CLAIMED — the Möbius band, the Klein bottle, the projective plane, orientability, the Gauss–Bonnet theorem and the distinction between a density and a form are all standard. No theorem is proved — what is done here is to build surfaces and measure. The classification of surfaces is not treated — only three surfaces and one band appear. Neither characteristic classes nor any homological test is used — non-orientability is settled by actually trying to orient the faces and failing. It is not proved that no orientation exists — what is shown is that finitely many orders of trying all fail. Relation to earlier papers: Paper 67 began from the hypothesis for a closed orientable surface — and never asked why that word is there. This paper removes it and measures what happens. Paper 224 found that curvature is a measure and not a value at a point — this paper finds that being a measure is exactly why no orientation is needed. The Möbius of Paper 167 is the Möbius transformation and not the band — one name on two different objects. What is added is carrying the normal round at three step sizes, measuring that the area converges, checking the sign and the area on the double cover, measuring the total defect and the possibility of orienting on a Klein bottle and a projective plane, checking over eight orders that the count of refusing edges depends on the order, and confirming the total against $2\pi V - \pi F$ on three surfaces.

1. Notation and Position

A surface is **orientable** when a normal can be chosen continuously, equivalently when every face can be oriented without contradiction. Here the second is settled by actually trying.

Position: Paper 67 opened with for a closed orientable surface — this paper takes the adjective away. In Paper 224 curvature was a measure — here being a measure is why orientation is not needed.

2. The Normal Comes Back Reversed

Check 2.1: carry the normal **continuously** along the centre line and compare at the end of one circuit.

Steps	Inner product of beginning and end
200	−1.000000000000
2000	−1.000000000000
20000	−1.000000000000

Observation 2.2

The normal returns pointing the opposite way. A hundredfold refinement gives the same -1 — this is not an error of approximation but a property of the band. Front and back cannot be chosen continuously.

3. And the Area Is There All the Same

Check 3.1: cut the band into triangles and add up the areas.

Steps round	Steps across	Area
60	6	25.4022325578
240	24	25.4124093725
960	96	25.4130433381
1920	192	25.4130750300

Observation 3.2

The area settles on one number. Every triangle contributes a positive quantity, and nowhere is a direction required — area survives the loss of orientation.

4. Going Round Twice Restores It

Check 4.1:

Measured	Value
Inner product after two circuits	$+1.000000000000$
Area of the band	25.4130433381
Area of the double cover	50.8260866763

Observation 4.2

The surface above does have two sides. Orientation was not lost but is unavailable below — there is no such thing and it cannot be chosen here are different statements.

5. A Closed One-Sided Surface: The Klein Bottle

Check 5.1: a Klein bottle placed in four dimensions, and a torus for comparison. **Actually** try to orient the faces and count the edges that refuse.

Surface	V	E	F	Total defect	Refusing edges
Torus	384	1152	768	0	0
Klein bottle	384	1152	768	0	92

Observation 5.2

The two agree in V , E , F , the Euler characteristic and the total defect. They differ only in whether the faces can be oriented — so the total does not detect non-orientability.

6. But the Ninety-Two Depends on the Order

Check 6.1: the same Klein bottle, with the faces visited in eight different orders.

Surface	Refusing edges over eight orders
Klein bottle	96, 92, 88, 96, 96, 97, 94, 94
Torus	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0

Observation 6.2

The number itself is not a property of the surface — it moves between 88 and 97 with the order of the search. What does not move is that it is never zero — no order ever succeeds. What is shown is failure over eight orders, and that every order fails is a theorem that is cited.

7. The Core: Two Pi on the Projective Plane, and Settled by Counting

Check 7.1: identify **opposite points** of an icosahedron and place the result in five dimensions by the map of Veronese, every term of which is quadratic.

Measured	Value
V, E, F	6, 15, 10
Euler characteristic	1
Total defect	6.283185307180 ($2\pi = 6.283185307180$)
Refusing edges	4

Observation 7.2

A closed one-sided surface, and its total curvature is 2π and not zero. The rule $2\pi\chi$ holds unchanged — orientation was never needed anywhere.

Check 7.3: the angles of a triangle come to π , so the total defect equals $2\pi V - \pi F$; and since $3F = 2E$ that is $2\pi(V - E + F)$.

Surface	V	F	$2\pi V - \pi F$	Measured
Torus	384	768	0.000000000000	0.000000000000
Klein bottle	384	768	0.000000000000	0.000000000000
Projective plane	6	10	6.283185307180	6.283185307180

Observation 7.4

The total is settled by counting alone — no placing of the vertices can move it. So there is no opening through which orientation could enter the sum. Paper 224 wrote that the bill keeps being presented — and that bill was settled before any coordinates were chosen.

8. The Separator and the Fence

Observation 8.1 (the separator)

What separates the senses of area is whether it is read as a density or as a form.

Phrase	On the Möbius band	What it requires
Area (a measure)	exists	only a magnitude
Total curvature	exists	only a magnitude
An area form	does not	a direction
Oriented area, flux	does not	a direction
A continuous normal	does not	a direction

Observation 8.2 (the fence)

The fence around the area of this surface is that no sign is being asked for. Outside it — the flux through this surface, say — the sentence is not even false but empty — the same shape as this sequence is random in Paper 223 and volume is conserved in Paper 225.

Observation 8.3

The quantities that survive cannot see what was lost. The torus and the Klein bottle agree in V, E, F, χ and total curvature — so long as one looks at the numbers, one-sidedness is invisible. To see it one must actually try to orient the faces.

Observation 8.4

Names have distinct roots too. The Möbius of Paper 167 is a transformation and the Möbius here is a band — one man's name on two different objects.

9. The Census and the Close

What this paper measured:

1. The normal comes back reversed (Check 2.1): -1.000000000000 at three step sizes.
2. The area is there all the same (Check 3.1): converging to 25.4130750300 .
3. Two circuits restore it (Check 4.1): $+1$, and exactly twice the area.
4. The Klein bottle refuses orientation (Check 5.1): V, E, F, χ and the total all as on the torus.
5. The count depends on the order (Check 6.1): 88 to 97 , and never zero.
6. The projective plane totals 2π (Check 7.1): one-sided and not zero.
7. The total is $2\pi V - \pi F$ (Check 7.3): settled by counting.

Close: Cut a long strip of paper, give it one twist and join the ends. That band has no front and no back — carry a normal once round and it comes back pointing the opposite way. And it has an area. The quantity of paint needed to cover it is perfectly settled — it is 25.4130750300 . What broke was not the area but the machinery that would put a sign on it. Area asks only for a magnitude, and flux and oriented area ask for a direction — so the first remains and the second goes. And the curvature remains too. The Klein bottle totals 0 and the projective plane 2π , both exactly $2\pi\chi$ — and that total is fixed by the number of vertices and faces, immovable before anything has been placed anywhere. Paper 67 opened with for a closed orientable surface — what this paper measured is that the adjective was not needed for this sum. A hypothesis is sometimes there not to protect the theorem but to protect the tool used to prove it — change the tool and the hypothesis is what falls away.

References

1. Listing, J. B. (1861). Der Census räumlicher Complexe. *Abhandlungen der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, 10.
2. Möbius, A. F. (1865). Über die Bestimmung des Inhaltes eines Polyeders. *Berichte der Königlich Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften*, 17.
3. Klein, F. (1882). *Über Riemann's Theorie der algebraischen Functionen und ihrer Integrale*. Leipzig: Teubner.

4. Veronese, G. (1884). La superficie omaloide normale a due dimensioni e del quarto ordine dello spazio a cinque dimensioni. *Atti della Reale Accademia dei Lincei*, 19.
5. Banchoff, T. F. (1970). Critical points and curvature for embedded polyhedra. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 4.
6. do Carmo, M. P. (1976). *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
7. Spivak, M. (1979). *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Volume 1*. Second edition. Berkeley: Publish or Perish.
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2025). *The Euler-Characteristic Origin of the 4π Patch* [Paper 67]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21642170
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Uniformization Gives Not One Shape but One Model and One Parameter* [Paper 167]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.22093172
10. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Every Map Must Distort Something* [Paper 212]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.22112104
11. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *It Passes Every Test, and the Points Lie on Fifteen Planes* [Paper 223]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.22120728
12. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Flat Wherever You Touch It, and Four Pi in Total* [Paper 224]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.22120836
13. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *One Ball Becomes Two of the Same Size* [Paper 225]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.22120991

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)