

Friction and Dissipation as Coarse-Graining — Why Energy Conserved in the Fine-Grained Appears "Lost": The Fluctuation–Dissipation Theorem as the Bridge — [Paper 78]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan

August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

Paper 77 showed, on the basis of Liouville's theorem, that "the arrow of time resides not in the microscopic law but in coarse-graining (finite resolution)." This paper treats a concrete instance: the dissipative phenomenon that textbooks describe as "friction turns energy into heat and it is **lost**." The claim is plain: **energy is not lost**. Microscopically, by Liouville's theorem, the total energy is conserved (Paper 77), and friction is **the process by which the system's energy is transferred to microscopic degrees of freedom we cannot resolve (heat = the environment, the bath)**. "Loss" is an **appearance** that arises only when those microscopic degrees of freedom are not seen — when they are coarse-grained away. The royal-road bridge is the **fluctuation–dissipation theorem** (Kubo): in the Langevin equation $m\dot{v} = -\gamma v + \xi(t)$, **dissipation (the friction coefficient γ) and fluctuation (thermal noise ξ) are two faces of one and the same coupling to the environment**, tied by $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta(t - t')$. Friction is therefore not a "device that discards energy," but a **coarse-grained effective description of the reversible microscopic dynamics between system and bath**. In the Universe-OS lens: heat has not "vanished"; the **"rounding" produced at the resolution limit is collected into the back end (the reservoir, $+C$; Paper 62)** — though "OS" and "collection" are lenses, not testable claims. **Scope**: the fluctuation–dissipation theorem and open-system (Caldeira–Leggett) methods are borrowed standard facts; this paper produces no new mathematics and no new predictions. It does **not derive the origin of the environment, nor newly prove the second law**. Fine-grained conservation and coarse-grained loss are **distinct quantities and distinct matters; they are not fused** (distinct roots; Papers 58/48). This paper only offers a **structural interpretation**, applying Paper 77 to the single case of friction.

Positioning of this paper: This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established physical laws and theorems. It claims neither the discovery of new physical laws nor the resolution of open problems. The fluctuation–dissipation theorem, the Langevin equation, open systems (system–bath), and coarse-graining, as used here, are all standard and established tools; this paper adds no new theorem to them. The words "Universe OS," "garbage collection," "rounding error," and "back end" are **interpretive lenses and metaphors**, not testable claims; we state this explicitly at the outset.

1. Introduction: From Paper 77 to the Concrete Case of Friction

Paper 77 showed, on the basis of Liouville's theorem (the microscopic phase-space volume is conserved, reversible, no arrow), that **the arrow of time resides not in the microscopic law but in coarse-graining — in finite resolution**. The standard picture is that the irreversibility of the second law appears only once one introduces an "information-discarding operation."

This paper takes up its most familiar instance — **friction**. Textbooks teach that "friction and air resistance turn energy into heat and it is lost." But from the standpoint of Paper 77, "lost" is not an accurate word. **Microscopically, no energy is lost at all**. This paper shows, through the royal-road bridge of the fluctuation–dissipation theorem, that friction is not "loss" but "coarse-grained transfer."

2. The Naive Picture and Its Correction

The naive picture runs: "a moving body is decelerated by friction, and the kinetic energy becomes heat and is **lost**." The first half is correct. What must be corrected is the single word "**lost**."

Observation 2.1 (Heat Is Not "Vanished" Energy)

Heat is the energy carried by **microscopic degrees of freedom we do not track individually (the disordered motion of molecules)**. Friction is nothing but the process by which the system's ordered kinetic energy (a few macroscopic degrees of freedom) is **transferred into the enormous microscopic degrees of freedom (the environment, the bath)**. Microscopically — as the Hamiltonian mechanics of the total system, system plus environment — energy is **exactly conserved** (Paper 77; Liouville). "Loss" is an appearance that arises only when the environment's microscopic degrees of freedom are **coarse-grained away**.

3. The Open-System Setup: System + Bath, and Integrating Out the Bath

The standard formulation of this picture is the **open-system (system–bath)** framework. One divides the total system into "the system of interest" and "an environment (bath) with an enormous number of degrees of freedom," the whole obeying reversible Hamiltonian mechanics (Paper 76's (T^*M, ω, H) ; Paper 77's Liouville). Here, **integrating out (coarse-graining) the bath's degrees of freedom** makes a **friction term** appear in the effective equation of motion for the system alone.

Standard Fact (The Langevin Equation)

Integrating out the bath from the reversible Hamiltonian mechanics of system + bath, the system's motion becomes effectively

$$m \dot{v} = -\gamma v + \xi(t)$$

(as in the Caldeira–Leggett model). Here $-\gamma v$ is **friction (dissipation)** and $\xi(t)$ is the **fluctuating force (thermal noise)** from the bath. **Both arise from "one and the same coupling between system and bath."** The total system is reversible, but as a result of coarse-graining away the bath, looking at the system alone one sees **irreversible friction**.

Friction is not a fundamental law. It is **an effective description obtained by coarse-graining the environment out of a reversible microscopic dynamics** — precisely the friction-version implementation of Paper 77's "the arrow resides in coarse-graining."

4. The Fluctuation–Dissipation Theorem: Dissipation and Fluctuation Are Two Faces of One Coupling

Here enters the royal-road fact at the heart of this paper. Friction (dissipation γ) and thermal noise (fluctuation ξ) are **not two unrelated things, but the front and back of one and the same microscopic coupling**.

Standard Fact (Fluctuation–Dissipation Theorem; Kubo 1966)

At thermal equilibrium at temperature T , the friction coefficient γ and the correlation of the fluctuating force are tied by

$$\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta(t - t').$$

The very coupling that produces dissipation produces fluctuation (thermal noise) as well. The action of "handing energy to the bath by friction" and the action of "being kicked randomly by the bath" are **two faces of one coupling**, whose ratio fixes the temperature T .

Observation 4.1 (Friction Is Not a "Discarding Device" but a "Coarse-Graining of Exchange")

What the fluctuation–dissipation theorem says is that friction is **not a device that one-sidedly discards energy**. The system hands energy to the bath (dissipation) and, at the same time, receives it from the bath (fluctuation). That the system on average decelerates is merely the **coarse-grained net of a bidirectional exchange** heading toward equilibrium. **Reversible give-and-take in the fine-grained; irreversible friction in the coarse-grained.**

5. Where the Energy Goes: The $+C$ Reservoir (Paper 62)

Where, then, does the transferred energy go? Microscopically, it **remains present** as the kinetic energy of the bath (heat). In coarse-grained bookkeeping, it is booked to an "inaccessible background" as seen from the system — a **reservoir**.

Read through the system's lens (metaphor) — again, **a lens, not a testable claim** — this becomes the following. Friction is the **"boundary" at which the Universe OS ceases to track any finer at its finite pixel resolution**, and the **"rounding" produced there is collected, as heat, into the back-end reservoir (the integration constant $+C$ of Paper 62)** (thermodynamic garbage collection). The energy has not vanished — it is **stored, non-destructively, outside the resolution** (Paper 77: the OS's memory is microscopically non-destructive). **"Rounding," "collection," and "back end" are metaphors, mere restatements of the standard-physics content (transfer to the bath).**

6. Consistency with Papers 77 and 75: The Arrow of Friction Is the Coarse Arrow

Observation 6.1 (Friction Is an Application of Paper 77)

The irreversibility of friction (the arrow of velocity decaying in one direction) is not the arrow of the microscopic law — the total system, system plus bath, is reversible by Liouville (Paper 77). The arrow of friction is **a coarse arrow, produced by coarse-graining the bath away**. Hence friction is an instance of Paper 77 (the arrow resides in coarse-graining), and belongs to the same layer as Paper 75 (the growth of causal-sphere entropy = a coarse-grained, boundary arrow). **Fine-grained conservation and coarse-grained loss are distinct quantities and distinct matters** (distinct roots; Papers 58/48).

Friction thus takes its place as the natural sequel to the phase-space trilogy — 76 (the hinge (T^*M, ω, H)) and 77 (Liouville and the arrow): **76 is the stage, 77 is the general rule "the arrow resides in the coarse," and 78 is its most familiar implementation, friction.**

7. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

What is shown (descriptive):

- Friction and dissipation are not "energy loss" but the **coarse-graining of energy transfer from the system to unresolved microscopic degrees of freedom (the bath)** (Observation 2.1; §3).
- Dissipation and fluctuation are two faces of one coupling, tied by the fluctuation–dissipation theorem $\langle \xi \xi \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta$ (§4). Friction is not a "discarding device" but a "coarse-graining of exchange" (Observation 4.1).
- The irreversibility of friction is an application of Paper 77's "the arrow resides in coarse-graining," in the same layer as 75 (Observation 6.1). It is the natural sequel to the phase-space trilogy (76, 77).

What is not shown (restraint):

- **No new mathematics.** The Langevin equation, the fluctuation–dissipation theorem, and open systems are all standard. This paper adds no theorem.
- **The origin of the environment (bath) is not derived.** Why the universe has an enormous number of microscopic degrees of freedom — a heat bath — and its initial condition (a low-entropy past) are not explained here (the same open problem as Papers 75 and 77; Penrose's conjecture).
- **The second law is not newly proved.** What this paper shows is the **reading** "friction is not loss but the coarse-graining of transfer," not a derivation of irreversibility itself.

- **Fine-grained conservation and coarse-grained loss are not fused.** They are distinct quantities at distinct layers (distinct roots; Papers 58/48). "Energy is conserved yet usable energy decreases" is not a contradiction but **the distinct behaviour of two distinct quantities**.
- **No new prediction is made.** The framework yields the same observational results as standard statistical and dissipation theory. Hence this paper remains at Layer 2 (structural interpretation).

8. Conclusion

Friction and air resistance are not the "energy loss" of classical physics. Microscopically, by Liouville's theorem, energy is exactly conserved (Paper 77), and friction is **the coarse-grained effective description of the process by which the system's energy is transferred to microscopic degrees of freedom we do not resolve (the bath = heat)**. The fluctuation–dissipation theorem shows that this transfer (dissipation) and its reverse, the receiving (fluctuation), are **two faces of one coupling** — friction is not a "discarding device," but the coarse-graining of a reversible give-and-take.

In the Universe-OS lens: **the energy has not vanished; the "rounding" produced at the resolution limit is merely collected, as heat, non-destructively into the back-end reservoir (+ $\mathcal{C}$)**. Yet "OS" and "collection" are lenses, and what has been shown is merely an **observation** that an application of Paper 77 lies within standard dissipation theory. The deepest question — why the universe has a low-entropy past (a working bath) — remains open. Even so, reframing the "loss" of friction as "coarse-grained transfer" reconfirms the phase-space trilogy once more, on the most familiar ground beneath our feet.

References

1. Einstein, A. (1905). *Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen* (Brownian motion). *Annalen der Physik* 17, 549–560.
2. Nyquist, H. (1928). *Thermal Agitation of Electric Charge in Conductors*. *Phys. Rev.* 32, 110–113.
3. Kubo, R. (1966). *The fluctuation-dissipation theorem*. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 29, 255–284.
4. Caldeira, A. O. and Leggett, A. J. (1983). *Path integral approach to quantum Brownian motion*. *Physica A* 121, 587–616.
5. Zwanzig, R. (2001). *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*. Oxford University Press.
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). **+ $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{A}$: A Duality on the Volume Degree of Freedom** [Paper 62]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21598317.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Liouville's Theorem and the Arrow of Time* [Paper 77]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722473.
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *A Covariant Symplectic Structure for Velocity-Space Curvature and the Spacetime Metric on Phase Space $\mathbf{T^*M}$* [Paper 76]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722145.
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Pfaffian's $2^n n!$ Is One Block* [Paper 48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21602525

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)