

Conservation Laws Are the Shadow of Symmetry — Reading Noether's Theorem on Phase Space T^*M : Why Things Are "Conserved" — [Paper 80]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan

August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

The phase-space trilogy (Paper 76 = the hinge (T^*M, ω, H) ; 77 = Liouville; 78 = friction) set the "stage" and "the reversible flow upon it." Paper 79 showed one instance: the "extra conserved quantity" proper to the inverse-square force (the LRL vector) generates the hidden symmetry $SO(4)$. This paper reads the **general principle behind that instance — Noether's theorem (1918) — on the phase space T^*M** . The claim reduces to the most beautiful sentence in classical mechanics: **to every continuous symmetry there corresponds exactly one conserved quantity**. In the language of (T^*M, ω) : that the flow generated by a function G ($\iota_{X_G}\omega = dG$) leaves H invariant (a symmetry) and that G itself is conserved (a conservation law) are **two faces of one equation**, the Poisson bracket $\{G, H\} = 0$. This symmetry–conservation dictionary reads: time-translation $\rightarrow$ energy, space-translation $\rightarrow$ momentum, rotation $\rightarrow$ angular momentum (the momentum map). Paper 79's $\mathbf{L}$ ($SO(3)$) and the LRL vector $\mathbf{A}$ (the hidden $SO(4)$) are precisely such momentum maps — and **"an extra conserved quantity" is another name for "an extra symmetry."** This paper places 79's specific case under 80's general rule. **Discipline:** Noether's theorem is a borrowed standard fact; this paper produces no new mathematics and no new predictions. It does **not explain "why the universe has that symmetry"** — Noether says conservation follows *from* symmetry, not whence the symmetry (in an expanding universe global time-translation symmetry is generally broken, and global energy conservation becomes subtle — the converse instance). Different conserved quantities $(E, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{A})$, though they share the value "each is conserved," are **quantities of distinct origin and are not fused** (distinct roots; Papers 58/48). This paper is a **structural interpretation**.

Positioning of this paper: This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established physical laws and theorems. It claims neither the discovery of new physical laws nor the resolution of open problems. Noether's theorem, symplectic mechanics, and the momentum map, as used here, are all standard, established results; this paper adds no new theorem to them. Words such as "Universe OS" are **interpretive lenses and metaphors**, not testable claims; we state this explicitly at the outset.

1. Introduction: To the Trilogy's "Next Foundation," and Behind Paper 79

The phase-space trilogy set the stage (T^*M, ω) (Paper 76), the Liouville flow upon it (77), and coarse-graining as friction (78). This paper answers, upon that stage, the most basic question of classical mechanics — **why are physical quantities "conserved"?**

The question is directly tied to what lies behind Paper 79. Paper 79 showed **one instance**: "the extra conserved quantity proper to the inverse square (the LRL vector) generates the hidden $SO(4)$." The general principle running through that instance is Emmy Noether's theorem: **conservation laws are the shadow of symmetry.**

2. Noether's Theorem (in the Language of the Action)

Standard Fact (Noether's First Theorem, 1918)

If the action $S = \int L(q, \dot{q}, t) dt$ is invariant (up to boundary terms) under a continuous transformation $q \rightarrow q + \epsilon K(q)$, then the corresponding quantity

$$Q = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \cdot K$$

is conserved along the motion ($dQ/dt = 0$). **To each continuous symmetry there corresponds exactly one conserved quantity.**

This gives a unified reason to the conservation laws one is told to "memorize." Energy, momentum, and angular momentum are conserved because the universe is symmetric under (respectively) time, space, and orientation.

3. On Phase Space T^*M : Symmetry and Conservation Are Two Faces of One Equation

Transferred to Paper 76's stage (T^*M, ω) , the theorem becomes even more transparent. Any function $G : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generates a Hamiltonian flow X_G via $\iota_{X_G}\omega = dG$ (G is "the generator of its symmetry").

Standard Fact (Hamiltonian Version of Noether)

For a function G and the Hamiltonian H , the following are all equivalent:

$$\{G, H\} = 0 \iff G \text{ is conserved along the flow of } H \iff H \text{ is invariant under the flow (symmetry) of } G.$$

Since the Poisson bracket $\{G, H\}$ is antisymmetric in G, H , " G generates a symmetry of H " and " H conserves G " are literally two readings of the same equation. For a group of continuous symmetries, the map that supplies the conserved quantities together is called the **momentum map**.

Symmetry and conservation are not two separate facts. On (T^*M, ω) they are the **front and back of one and the same plank**, $\{G, H\} = 0$.

4. The Symmetry–Conservation Dictionary

Continuous symmetry	Generator G	Conserved quantity
Time-translation ($t \rightarrow t + a$)	the Hamiltonian H	energy
Space-translation ($\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}$)	momentum $\mathbf{p}$	momentum
Rotation (of orientation)	angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$	angular momentum
Galilean boost	$m\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}t$	uniform motion of the centre of mass

The conservation laws that were "to be memorized" become the rows of a single principle. Each is, in the OS lens, the bookkeeping consequence of "the update rule leaves its appearance unchanged under some transformation."

5. Connection to Paper 79: "An Extra Conserved Quantity" = "An Extra Symmetry"

Observation 5.1 (79 Is an Instance of 80)

The conserved quantities that appeared for the inverse-square force in Paper 79 are precisely these momentum maps: the angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$ is the momentum map of rotations $SO(3)$, and the LRL vector $\mathbf{A}$ is nothing but the "extra" generator of the hidden $SO(4)$. That is, Paper 79's " $1/r^2$ possesses an extra conserved quantity" is, through Noether, synonymous with " $1/r^2$ possesses an extra symmetry." 80 (the general principle) explains 79 (the specific instance) from above.

6. The Distinct-Roots Discipline: Each Conserved Quantity Is the Shadow of a Different Symmetry

Noether gives a **one-to-one correspondence**: one symmetry $\rightarrow$ one conserved quantity. Here the discipline of Papers 58 and 48 naturally resides.

Observation 6.1 ("Conserved" Is a Shared Value; the Symmetries Are Distinct Roots)

Energy, momentum, angular momentum, and the LRL vector all share the **value** "is conserved." But their **origins (the corresponding symmetries) differ**: time-translation, space-translation, rotation, the hidden $SO(4)$. **"They are the same because all are conserved" is false.** Noether's one-to-one correspondence is precisely the dynamical version of the distinct-roots discipline — conserved quantities must not be bundled together merely because their value (being conserved) coincides. That $SO(4)$ and $SU(3)$ (the harmonic oscillator) in Paper 79 were distinct roots is one instance of this.

7. The OS Lens: The Ledger Balances Because the Update Rule Is Symmetric

Read through the system's lens (metaphor) — again, **a lens, not a testable claim** — a conservation law is the bookkeeping consequence of **"the Universe OS's update rule (Hamiltonian flow) leaving its appearance unchanged under some transformation."** If it is symmetric under time, a "balance" called energy squares each frame; if under space, one called momentum. **A conserved quantity is a "closed ledger" issued one per invariance of the OS** — though "OS" and "ledger" are metaphors, mere restatements of the standard-physics content (Noether's correspondence).

8. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

What is shown (descriptive):

- Continuous symmetries and conserved quantities are in one-to-one correspondence (Noether). On (T^*M, ω) , the two faces of $\{G, H\} = 0$ = the momentum map (§§2–4).
- Paper 79's $\mathbf{L}$ and LRL vector $\mathbf{A}$ are these momentum maps, so "an extra conserved quantity" = "an extra symmetry" (Observation 5.1); 80 explains 79 from above.
- Different conserved quantities are shadows of different symmetries and must not be bundled by the shared value "conserved" (Observation 6.1; distinct roots 58/48).

What is not shown (restraint):

- **No new mathematics.** Noether's theorem and the momentum map are all standard. This paper adds no theorem.

- **It does not explain "why the universe has that symmetry."** Noether says "conservation *from* symmetry," not the origin of the symmetry itself. Indeed, in an expanding universe global time-translation symmetry is generally broken and global energy conservation becomes subtle (general relativity; cosmology) — the converse instance of "no symmetry, no conservation," which this paper does not resolve (the same open edge as the cosmological arrow of Paper 75).
- **It does not claim "everything from symmetry."** This paper only places the symmetry–conservation correspondence; it does not reduce all of mechanics to symmetry.
- **No new prediction is made.** The framework yields the same observational results as standard analytical mechanics. Hence this paper remains at Layer 2 (structural interpretation). "OS" and "ledger" are lenses.

9. Conclusion

"Why are physical quantities conserved?" — the answer is Noether's one sentence: **conservation laws are the shadow of symmetry**. On the phase space (T^*M, ω) , symmetry and conservation are the front and back of one plank, $\{G, H\} = 0$, and the symmetries of time, space, and orientation stand in the rows as energy, momentum, and angular momentum. Paper 79's "extra conserved quantity" (the LRL vector) is likewise nothing but an "extra symmetry" (the hidden $SO(4)$), and 80 enfolds 79 from above.

Yet the discipline is not forgotten: Noether derives conservation **from** symmetry, but is silent on **why** the symmetry exists. If time symmetry breaks in an expanding universe, global energy conservation breaks too — a conservation law is not an absolute dogma but a **shadow** contingent on the condition of symmetry. The conserved quantities, though sharing the value (being conserved), are each the shadow of a different symmetry and are not fused (distinct roots). What this paper has shown is only that it has laid, correctly, one more backbone of classical mechanics — "conservation" — upon the stage of the phase-space trilogy.

References

1. Noether, E. (1918). *Invariante Variationsprobleme*. Nachr. d. König. Gesellsch. d. Wiss. zu Göttingen, Math-phys. Klasse, 235–257.
2. Arnold, V. I. (1989). *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (2nd ed.). Springer.
3. Abraham, R. and Marsden, J. E. (1978). *Foundations of Mechanics* (2nd ed.). Benjamin/Cummings.
4. Goldstein, H. (1980). *Classical Mechanics* (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley.
5. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Hidden Symmetry Unique to $n=2$* [Paper 79]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21732820
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *A Covariant Symplectic Structure for Velocity-Space Curvature and the Spacetime Metric on Phase Space T^*M* [Paper 76]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722145.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Liouville's Theorem and the Arrow of Time* [Paper 77]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722473.

8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Pfaffian's $2^n n!$ Is One Block* [Paper 48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21602525

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)