

From Galois to Noether — Symmetry as the Arbiter of the Possible: The Lineage of Papers 79 and 80, yet Discrete and Continuous Are Distinct Roots — [Paper 85]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan

August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

Paper 79 (the hidden symmetry $SO(4)$ of the inverse-square law) and Paper 80 (conservation laws are the shadow of symmetry, Noether) both said the same thing: **symmetry governs what is possible**. Where did this idea come from? This paper traces its source to **Évariste Galois** and places Papers 79 and 80 within the lineage **Galois** $\rightarrow$ **Lie** $\rightarrow$ **Noether** (a structural, conceptual reading). Galois's revolution: whether an equation is **solvable by radicals** is decided not by its surface, but by the structure of the **hidden symmetry group of its roots (the Galois group)** — whether that group is "solvable." The general quintic and beyond have no radical formula because their group S_5 is not solvable (Galois's group-theoretic explanation of Abel–Ruffini). Here was born the idea that **the structure of symmetry is the arbiter of the possible and the impossible**. Sophus Lie extended it to **continuous groups (Lie groups)**, and Noether brought it to fruition in physics as **continuous symmetry** $\leftrightarrow$ **conservation law** (Paper 80). Paper 79's hidden symmetries ($SO(4)/SU(3)$ deciding closed orbits and degeneracies) are the physics version of the same idea. **The crucial discipline (distinct roots): Galois is discrete groups, field extensions, algebra; Noether/Lie are continuous groups, differential, geometry/physics — the mathematical objects are distinct (distinct roots, Papers 58/48)**. What links them is the **lineage of the idea** "the structure of symmetry governs possibility," not a literal sameness. A genuine technical bridge does exist — **differential Galois theory (Picard–Vessiot)**, where a differential group decides solvability by quadratures — yet it too is a different application from Noether's continuous symmetry of the action. **Scope:** this paper is a lineage placement; it produces no new mathematics, no new theorem, no new prediction. **It does not link the "5" of quintic unsolvability to any special number of the corpus (numerology forbidden)**. It remains at Layer 2 (structural interpretation).

Positioning of this paper (discipline): This paper is a **lineage-level, structural interpretation** of established mathematics (Galois theory, Lie groups, Noether's theorem, differential Galois theory). It contains no new mathematics, no new theorem, and no new prediction. **It does not identify Galois (discrete) with Noether (continuous)** — what links them is an idea, not a mathematical identity. **It does not link numbers such as the "5" of quintic unsolvability to any special number of the corpus** (connection by numerical coincidence is forbidden by the distinct-roots discipline of Papers 58/48). No "Universe OS" metaphor is used; the discussion stays within the history of mathematics and structure.

1. Introduction: Where Did "Symmetry Governs Possibility" Come From?

Paper 79 showed that "the inverse-square force possesses a hidden symmetry $SO(4)$ that closes the orbit," and Paper 80 stated that "conservation laws are the shadow of symmetry (Noether)." Common to both is the idea that **what is possible (an orbit closing, a quantity being conserved) is decided by the structure of symmetry**. This paper asks after the **source** of that idea — and it runs straight back, two centuries, to a twenty-year-old who fell in a duel: **Évariste Galois**.

This paper proves no new theorem. It draws the lineage **Galois** → **Lie** → **Noether** and places Papers 79 and 80 as its modern endpoint — a structural, conceptual piece. And in the end it draws, with the corpus's discipline, the strict line: this lineage is a **sharing of an idea across distinct roots, not a literal sameness**.

2. Galois's Revolution: Solvability Is Judged by the Hidden Symmetry Group

Standard Fact (Galois theory, 1831/1846)

A polynomial equation is **solvable by radicals** (the four operations plus root extraction) if and only if its associated **Galois group** — the group of permutations of the roots preserving all algebraic relations — is a **solvable group**. In particular the general equation of degree five and above has no radical formula, because its Galois group S_n ($n \geq 5$) is not solvable (A_5 is simple and non-abelian) — Galois's group-theoretic explanation of the Abel–Ruffini theorem.

This was a great inversion of thought. People had long searched for "the formula for the quintic." Galois **turned the question over**: whether a formula **exists at all** is decided in advance by the **hidden symmetry structure** of the equation. Not the surface coefficients, but **how the roots may be interchanged (symmetry)**, judges what is possible.

3. The Idea Born Here: Symmetry Is the Arbiter of the Possible

Observation 3.1 (The general idea Galois left behind)

What Galois left was not merely the answer to the quintic. It was a general idea running through mathematics and physics: **the possibility or impossibility of an object is decided by the structure of the symmetry group that object carries. What can and cannot be done is judged, not at the surface, but by hidden symmetry.** This shift of viewpoint — from "search for a solution" to "read the structure of symmetry" — became the skeleton of mathematics and physics ever after.

4. Lie: From Discrete to Continuous

Galois's groups were **discrete** (finitely many permutations of roots). Sophus Lie (1842–1899) extended them to **continuous** symmetry — groups of smoothly deformable transformations (**Lie groups**). His motive was precisely "to do for **differential equations** what Galois did for algebraic ones." The language of continuous symmetry groups thus entered geometry and analysis, becoming the bridge by which Galois's idea crossed into physics.

Standard Fact (the genuine technical bridge: differential Galois theory)

Lie's motive came to fruition as **differential Galois theory** (Picard–Vessiot theory, later developed by Kolchin): whether a linear differential equation is **solvable by quadratures** (integration and algebraic operations) is decided by whether its **differential Galois group** (a continuous group) is **solvable**. This is the rigorous continuous counterpart of Galois's discrete theorem. **Even so**, this too is a **different application** from Noether's "continuous symmetry of the action $\leftrightarrow$ conservation law" in the next section (solvability vs. the existence of conserved quantities).

5. Noether: The Idea's Fruition in Physics (Papers 79, 80)

It was Emmy Noether who placed Lie's continuous groups at the center of physics. As seen in Paper 80, Noether's theorem gives a one-to-one correspondence between **continuous symmetry and conserved quantity**. Here Galois's idea takes physical form:

Observation 5.1 (Papers 79 and 80 are the physics version of Galois's idea)

Paper 80 (Noether): what is conserved (the possible conserved quantities) is decided by which continuous symmetries the system has — time translation → energy, rotation → angular momentum. **Paper 79 (hidden symmetry):** what is possible (an orbit closing, levels being degenerate) is decided by the system's hidden symmetry group ($SO(4)/SU(3)$). Both frame the question exactly as Galois did: **what is possible is judged by the structure of symmetry**. As Galois judged "the possibility of a solution formula" by a group, physics judges "the possibility of conserved quantities and closed orbits" by a group.

6. The Distinct-Roots Discipline: One Idea, Distinct Objects

Here we apply the corpus's core discipline (Papers 58, 48) most carefully. Galois and Noether are in **the same lineage of an idea**, but the **mathematical objects are distinct (distinct roots)**.

	Galois	Lie / Noether
Type of group	Discrete (finite groups, permutations)	Continuous (Lie groups, manifolds)
Arena	Field extensions, algebraic equations	Differential equations, geometry, physics
What it judges	Solvability by radicals	Existence of conserved quantities (Noether) / solvability by quadratures (differential Galois)

Observation 6.1 (The lineage is a shared idea, not a sameness)

The Galois group (discrete) and Noether's Lie group (continuous) must **not be fused** under "both are symmetry, so they are the same." What is shared is the **organizing principle (idea)** that "the structure of symmetry governs possibility," not the mathematical objects themselves. This is the distinct-roots discipline of Papers 58/48 in the guise of intellectual history. In particular — **the "5" of quintic unsolvability must not be linked to any special number of the corpus ($n = 2$, dimension, 4π , etc.)**. That would be numerology by coincidence of values, the very error the corpus has most warned against (the same rut as a retracted paper). This paper asserts **only the lineage of the idea** and makes no claim of numerical coincidence.

7. Connection to the Corpus: Galois in the Geometric-Physics Lineage

In the corpus's "intellectual-lineage map (the mainstream of geometric physics)," alongside Riemann, Clifford, Einstein, Weyl, Cartan, Hopf, and Penrose, Galois stands as the source of the idea of symmetry. This paper makes explicit that the corpus's symmetry papers (79, 80) are a modern endpoint of this great lineage **Galois** → **Lie** → **Noether**. Each time the corpus "reads possibility through symmetry," it inherits the way of framing questions bequeathed by a young man two centuries ago — while keeping the distinct roots of discrete and continuous.

The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a mathematical claim)

On the eve of the duel in which he would fall at twenty, Galois scrawled down as much theory as he could commit to paper. Those fragments became, a hundred years later in Noether's hands, the idea that governs the conservation laws of physics, and now reach as far as this corpus's hidden symmetries (79) and conservation laws (80). **This is not a mathematical claim but a homage to a lineage:** a quiet wonder that the work of those "who walked the mainstream" whom the corpus reveres — Galois, Noether — is connected across time by a single line. Not physics, not a theorem, only a passage that says: it feels that way.

8. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

What is shown (descriptive, lineage-level):

- The idea that "the structure of symmetry judges the possible and the impossible" has its source in Galois, was extended to the continuous by Lie, came to fruition in physics through Noether (Paper 80), and Paper 79's hidden symmetry is its endpoint (§§2–5).
- A genuine technical bridge exists in differential Galois theory (Picard–Vessiot) (§4) — yet even that is a different application from Noether.

What is not shown (restraint):

- **No new mathematics or theorem.** Galois theory, Lie groups, Noether, and differential Galois theory are all standard. This paper only draws a lineage; it adds no theorem.
- **Galois and Noether are not identified.** Discrete groups (field extensions) and continuous groups (differential, physics) are distinct roots (Papers 58/48). What links them is an idea, not a mathematical identity.
- **No numerology.** The "5" of quintic unsolvability or a group's order is not linked to any special number of the corpus ($n = 2$, dimension, 4π). **This is the paper's most important prohibition.**
- **No new prediction.** Same content as standard mathematics and physics. Hence it remains at Layer 2 (structural, lineage-level interpretation). Layer 3 (homage to Galois) is quarantined with the non-science label.

9. Conclusion

The idea embodied by Papers 79 and 80 — that symmetry governs what is possible — has its source in Galois, two centuries ago. **Whether an equation can be solved is judged by the structure of the hidden symmetry group of its roots** — this shift of viewpoint was carried by Lie to continuous groups and by Noether to the conservation laws of physics, and now reaches the corpus's hidden symmetries ($SO(4)/SU(3)$) and conservation laws (Noether). As Galois judged "the possibility of a solution formula" by a group, the corpus judges "the possibility of conserved quantities and closed orbits" by a group — a distant descendant of the same way of framing the question.

But the discipline is strictly kept: this is a **lineage of an idea**, not a **mathematical identity** between Galois (discrete, algebra) and Noether (continuous, physics) — they are distinct roots. The genuine technical bridge is differential Galois theory, but even that is a different application from Noether. And — **numbers such as the "5" of the quintic are, resolutely, never linked to any special number of the corpus** (numerology by coincidence is the error the corpus has most warned against). What this paper could offer is only this: to place the corpus's symmetry papers within the great geometric-physics lineage Galois → Lie → Noether, with the correct distinct-roots line drawn. The way of framing a question — "read possibility through symmetry" — that a young man scrawled on the eve of a duel still lives, across two centuries. That lineage, we record with respect.

References

1. Ruffini, P. (1799). *Teoria generale delle equazioni*.
2. Abel, N. H. (1824). *Mémoire sur les équations algébriques*.
3. Galois, É. (1846, posth.). *Œuvres mathématiques* (ed. J. Liouville). J. Math. Pures Appl.
4. Lie, S. (1888–1893). *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen*. Teubner.
5. Noether, E. (1918). *Invariante Variationsprobleme*. Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, 235–257.
6. Kolchin, E. R. (1973). *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups* (Picard–Vessiot / differential Galois theory). Academic Press.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Hidden Symmetry Unique to $n=2$* [Paper 79]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21732820.
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Conservation Laws Are the Shadow of Symmetry* [Paper 80]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21732957.
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Pfaffian's $2^n n!$ Is One Block* [Paper 48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21602525

— From thinking to syncing. —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)