

Zero-Tension and the Inverse Problem — Reading the Hidden Cause from a Perfect Null: The Reversible Reference Layer and Inverse Scattering — [Paper 89]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

"Zero-Tension" is a stance the author inherited from the masters of Japanese fishing. But its true meaning goes beyond "feeling the bite of the target." The master **imprints the state of no-bite (the null) perfectly into himself**. Where a beginner asks directly, "what is a bite?", the master learns the **opposite — the no-response baseline — to the extreme**. Only when the baseline is perfectly known does **every deviation from it** stand out as the whereabouts of a not-yet-named bite — a **hidden cause**. This is the **stance of the inverse problem**: not modeling the positive signal directly, but **establishing a perfect reference (null) and inferring the hidden cause from deviations against it**. This paper gives that intuition its royal-road home. The perfect reference, in physics, is the **reversible, dissipation-free free (reference) dynamics** — the evolution of the free Hamiltonian H_0 , which preserves phase-space volume (Liouville, Paper 77) and the symplectic form (Paper 76): literally the "zero-tension" baseline. Friction/resistance (Paper 78) and scattering (from an interaction V) are both read as **deviations** from this reference. The **inverse scattering problem** (Gel'fand–Levitan–Marchenko) is precisely the sharpening of this stance: know the free evolution H_0 perfectly, and recover the hidden potential V (the cause) from the deviation that is scattering. Thus Zero-Tension lives in the **inverse-problem stance: master the reversible reference layer as a perfect null, and read the hidden cause from its deviations**. **Scope**: this is a placement of interpretation and naming (Layer 2); it produces no new mathematics and no new prediction. Scattering theory and inverse problems are borrowed standard results. The fishing masters' technique and the Universe OS are Layer-3 non-science lenses.

Positioning of this paper (discipline): This paper is a **placement of interpretation and naming** upon established physics and mathematics (Hamiltonian mechanics, Liouville's theorem, the symplectic structure, scattering theory, the inverse scattering problem). It contains no new equation, no new mathematics, and no new prediction. "Zero-Tension" is a name given to **the reversible, dissipation-free reference layer (a perfect null) and the inverse-problem stance of reading the hidden cause from deviations against it**, not a new term added to the dynamics. The fishing technique and "Universe OS" are Layer-3 non-science lenses (quarantined in a dashed box), not claims of mechanism.

1. Introduction: The Master Learns Not "the Bite" but "Perfect No-Bite"

There is a stance in fishing that keeps no excess tension on the line. But the true meaning of "Zero-Tension" is often misread. It is not merely to feel the target's bite sensitively. What a master of Japanese fishing does is the opposite — to **imprint the state of "no bite" perfectly into oneself**, to embody the feel of line and hand when nothing is happening as a flawless **baseline (null)**.

A beginner asks, "what is a bite?", questioning the positive signal directly. The master, instead, **learns the no-response side to the extreme**. For only when the baseline is perfectly known does **every tiny deviation** from it — even the possibility of a bite one cannot yet name — stand out sharply. This is the thinking of the **inverse problem**: from an observed deviation, infer the **hidden cause** behind it. This paper gives this deeper Zero-Tension its correct home in physics.

2. The Perfect Reference (Null): Reversible, Dissipation-Free Free Dynamics

Standard Fact (free evolution as reference; Liouville / symplectic)

The evolution of an interaction-free Hamiltonian H_0 is a Hamiltonian flow: it preserves phase-space volume (**Liouville's theorem**, Paper 77) and the symplectic 2-form $\omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dx_i$ (Paper 76). It has neither a dissipation term nor an interaction term — it is a **perfectly known, reversible reference**, literally the "zero-tension" baseline state.

To read a "hidden cause" in physics, one must first know perfectly the **baseline where nothing is happening**. That baseline is exactly the friction-free, scattering-free free evolution H_0 . Just as the master embodies the "no-bite" state, physics **pins down the free (reference) dynamics perfectly**. This is the ground on which deviations can be read.

3. Deviations Carry the Cause: Both Friction and Scattering Are "Departures from the Baseline"

When something is added to the system, the motion departs from the reference. How to read that departure is continuous with the corpus so far.

Standard Fact (two faces of deviation)

- (i) **Friction / dissipation:** coarse-graining the unresolved degrees of freedom (a heat bath) produces the effective term $-\gamma\dot{x}$, and the fluctuation–dissipation theorem $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta(t - t')$ binds γ to the fluctuation (Paper 78). γ is a coarse-graining departure from the reference H_0 .
- (ii) **Scattering:** for the full system $H = H_0 + V$ with an interaction V , waves/particles depart from free evolution and scatter. The scattering data (phase shifts, reflection coefficients) carry information about V .

In both cases, **the deviation itself carries information about the hidden cause** (the heat bath symbolized by γ , or the potential V). Precisely because the reference is perfectly known, the departure reads not as "noise" but as "signal" — this is what it means to embody "perfect no-bite" first.

4. The Inverse-Problem Stance: Recovering the Hidden Cause from the Null

Observation 4.1 (Zero-Tension = the inverse-problem stance)

The deep meaning of Zero-Tension lies not in the **forward problem** (given a cause V , predict the effect = scattering) but in the **inverse problem** (from the observed deviation = scattering, recover the hidden cause V). Its precondition is **knowing the reference (null) H_0 perfectly**. If the baseline is vague, the deviation tells nothing of the cause. The master imprints the no-response baseline to the extreme precisely in order to **place himself in a position to solve the inverse problem**.

Standard Fact (the inverse scattering problem)

For the 1D Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$, there is a procedure that **recovers the potential V uniquely** from scattering data (reflection coefficient, bound states) — the **Gel'fand–Levitan–Marchenko inverse scattering theory**. More generally, the inverse problem of wave scattering reconstructs the medium / scatterer (the hidden cause) from the deviation of the scattered field against known free propagation (the reference) (Colton–Kress and others). **All of these are the mathematical sharpening of the Zero-Tension stance: know the reference perfectly, and invert the deviation back to its cause.**

5. Connection to the Corpus: The Origin in Fishing, and the Inverse Problem

This paper **correctly assigns the name Zero-Tension = the inverse-problem stance** to the "reversible reference layer" established in Paper 76 (the symplectic T^*M), Paper 77 (the reversible microscopic layer), and Paper 78 (friction as a coarse-grained departure). Recalling that the origin of the author's thinking is fishing — not "catching by luck" but "making catching reliable by inverting the signal back to its cause" — this is a natural landing. Zero-Tension gives a physical address to the author's own inverse-problem stance itself: **embody the perfect null, and read the hidden cause from deviations**. It adds no new theorem or prediction.

The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a claim of mechanism)

Zero-Tension is a technique of the masters of Japanese fishing. The master does not chase "the bite." By **carving the no-bite state perfectly into the body**, he probes for every possibility of a bite that might be contained within it, as a deviation. The author feels a deep kinship between this stance and the **inverse problem of wave scattering** — the mathematics of reading a hidden scatterer from the deviation of scattering against a known reference (the author sees a personal connection to Prof. Kimura's inverse-problem thinking at Kobe University). In the corpus's lens (metaphor), the Universe OS's bare updates run on a "zero-tension" reference, and what we receive from the world looks like a bundle of deviations against it. But **this carries the non-science label**. Both the fishing technique and the Universe OS are pictures that carry intuition, not mechanisms or testable claims. **What is certain here is only one point of standard mathematics — the reversible reference layer and the inverse problem that recovers a cause from deviations**. That a word received from a master could be handed an address in physics and mathematics — the inverse problem — we record quietly.

6. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

What is shown (descriptive, interpretive):

- The deep meaning of Zero-Tension is not "feeling the bite" but the inverse-problem stance of **embodying the no-bite baseline (null) perfectly and inverting deviations back to the hidden cause** (§§1, 4).
- Its physical reference is the reversible, dissipation-free free evolution H_0 (Liouville 77 / symplectic 76) — a perfect null (§2).
- Both friction (78) and scattering (V) are deviations from the reference, and the deviation carries the cause; inverse scattering (Gel'fand–Levitan–Marchenko) is the sharpening of this stance (§§3, 4).

What is not shown (restraint):

- **No new equation or mathematics**. Hamiltonian mechanics, scattering theory, and inverse scattering theory are all standard (or previously published, 76/77/78). This paper only places an interpretation and a name.

- **Mechanics is not modified; no new inverse-problem theorem is claimed.** It only assigns the name of an intuition to an existing inverse-problem stance.
- **No new prediction.** Hence Layer 2 (structural, interpretive). The fishing technique, the Universe OS, and the "kinship" with Prof. Kimura's inverse problem are quarantined as a Layer-3 non-science note.

7. Conclusion

The true meaning of "Zero-Tension" was not to feel the bite, but to **embody a perfect no-bite baseline and read the hidden cause from deviations against it**. A beginner asks the positive signal directly; a master learns the no-response null to the extreme — the **stance of the inverse problem**. The perfect null, in physics, is the reversible, dissipation-free free evolution H_0 (76/77). Friction (78) and scattering (V) are its deviations, and the deviation carries the cause. The inverse scattering problem (Gel'fand–Levitan–Marchenko) is nothing other than the mathematical sharpening of this stance.

What this paper did is not to build a new theory but to **hand one stance, received from the masters, its correct address**. Embody the perfect null; invert deviations back to their cause — fishing, the reversible reference layer, and inverse scattering are joined by a single line. The master's technique has settled quietly in a definite place: the inverse problem. That, and no more, is what we record.

References

1. Gel'fand, I. M. and Levitan, B. M. (1951). *On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 15, 309–360.
 2. Marchenko, V. A. (1986). *Sturm–Liouville Operators and Applications*. Birkhäuser.
 3. Colton, D. and Kress, R. (2013). *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory* (3rd ed.). Springer.
 4. Arnold, V. I. (1989). *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (2nd ed.). Springer.
 5. Kubo, R. (1966). *The fluctuation-dissipation theorem*. Rep. Prog. Phys. 29, 255–284.
 6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *A Covariant Symplectic Structure for Velocity-Space Curvature and the Spacetime Metric on Phase Space T^*M* [Paper 76]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722145.
 7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Liouville's Theorem and the Arrow of Time* [Paper 77]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21722473.
 8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Friction and Dissipation as Coarse-Graining* [Paper 78]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21731937.
-

— From thinking to syncing. —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)