

# Continuous Symmetry Emerges from Weak Assumptions — Hidehiko Yamabe and Hilbert's Fifth Problem (The Deep Cousin of Ignatowski) — [Paper 90]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)  
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan  
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

## ABSTRACT

This corpus keeps meeting the same theme: **rich structure emerges, inevitably, from very weak assumptions** — Ignatowski (Paper 83: from the relativity principle, spatial homogeneity/isotropy, and group structure alone, relativity is restricted to two families and the Lorentz group emerges), Galois→Lie→Noether (Paper 85), Gleason (Paper 71). This paper finds the **deepest mathematical statement** of that theme in the work of the Japanese mathematician **Hidehiko Yamabe (1923–1960)**. **Hilbert's fifth problem** — "is a continuous group automatically differentiable (a Lie group)?" — was solved affirmatively by Gleason, Montgomery–Zippin, and Yamabe. In particular, by **Yamabe's theorem, a topological group that is locally Euclidean (a manifold) is automatically a Lie group, without assuming differentiability**. That is, **the smooth structure of a continuous symmetry is forced by weak topological assumptions**. This is the **general form, in pure mathematics**, of Ignatowski's (83) derivation of the Lorentz group (a Lie group) from a few kinematic axioms — one lineage of "weak assumptions → symmetry," on a different stage (physical kinematics vs the topology of groups; distinct roots, Papers 58/48). Yamabe left a second gift too: the **Yamabe problem** (every conformal class contains a metric of constant scalar curvature; initiated by Yamabe, completed by Trudinger–Aubin–Schoen), a second bridge to the corpus's curvature papers (67 Gauss–Bonnet/ $4\pi$ , 07 Ricci flow/Perelman, 60 velocity-space curvature). **Scope:** this is a structural interpretation (Layer 2) of borrowed standard results (the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem, the Yamabe problem); it produces no new mathematics and no new prediction, and it does not solve or extend them. The Universe OS is a Layer-3 non-science lens.

**Positioning of this paper (discipline):** This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established mathematics (the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem = Gleason–Montgomery–Zippin–Yamabe; the Yamabe problem). It contains no new mathematics and no new prediction. It does not **prove, improve, or extend** these theorems. It does not infer identity of root from coincidence (Papers 58/48). In particular, the same name "Gleason" appears in Hilbert's fifth problem and in Gleason's theorem (Paper 71), but these are **distinct theorems by the same person** and are not conflated. "Universe OS" is a Layer-3 non-science lens, not a claim of mechanism.

## 1. Introduction: The Recurring Theme of "Structure from Weak Assumptions"

Several papers in this corpus have told the same astonishment with different faces — **almost nothing is assumed, yet rich structure appears inevitably**. Ignatowski (Paper 83) showed that from a **few axioms** — the relativity principle, spatial homogeneity/isotropy, group structure — relativity is restricted to the Galilean and Lorentzian families and the Lorentz group emerges. Galois→Lie→Noether (Paper 85) saw that the structure of a symmetry group governs the possible. Gleason (Paper 71) saw probability narrowed to a quadratic form from natural requirements.

This paper finds the **deepest mathematical culmination** of that theme in the work of Hidehiko Yamabe. What Ignatowski saw in "physical kinematics," Yamabe had shown, in general, in "the topology of groups themselves."

## 2. Hilbert's Fifth Problem and Yamabe's Theorem: Smoothness Is Forced

### Standard Fact (the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem, 1952–53)

Hilbert's fifth problem (1900) — "is a continuous transformation group automatically a differentiable (Lie) group?" — was solved affirmatively by A. Gleason, D. Montgomery–L. Zippin, and **Hidehiko Yamabe**. As a consequence in particular: **a topological group that is locally Euclidean (a manifold) is automatically a Lie group, without assuming differentiability**. More generally, Yamabe showed that a connected locally compact group is a projective limit of Lie groups (a locally compact group with no small subgroups is a Lie group).

In the corpus's words, what happens here is this: **the "smooth" structure of a continuous symmetry is not an assumption added afterward but is forced by weak topological assumptions**. Without putting differentiability in by hand, continuity and the manifold property alone make the rigid structure of a Lie group stand up inevitably. "Symmetry is richly determined, though it started from almost nothing" — this is the depth Yamabe saw.

### 3. The Deep Cousin of Ignatowski

---

#### Observation 3.1 (83 and 90 are one lineage, on different stages)

Ignatowski (Paper 83) derived the **Lorentz group (a Lie group)** on the stage of **physical kinematics** from weak axioms (relativity principle + homogeneity/isotropy + group structure). Yamabe / Hilbert's fifth derives the **Lie group structure itself** on the stage of **the topology of groups** from the weak assumption of local Euclideaness. Both stand in **one lineage of the idea** "continuous symmetry emerges from weak assumptions," but on different stages (kinematics vs topology) — a sharing of the idea, not an identity of theorems (distinct roots, Papers 58/48; the same line drawn in Paper 85). Here is the more general, deeper matrix of the astonishment felt in 83.

### 4. Yamabe's Other Gift: The Yamabe Problem and Curvature

---

#### Standard Fact (the Yamabe problem)

Within any **conformal class** (the freedom to rescale a metric by a positive function) on a compact Riemannian manifold, there exists a metric of **constant scalar curvature**. Initiated by Yamabe in 1960 (his proof had a gap, later completed by Trudinger, Aubin, and Schoen), this became a cornerstone of conformal geometry.

This is a **second** contribution of Yamabe, distinct from the group-theoretic result of §2 (the same person's different work — not to be conflated). Yet its theme, "smoothing curvature to a constant under conformal freedom," resonates naturally with the corpus's curvature papers — the Gauss–Bonnet that gives the foundational  $4\pi$  (Paper 67), the Ricci flow / Perelman that uniformizes curvature (Paper 07), the constant velocity-space curvature  $K = -1/c^2$  (Paper 60). Yamabe touches the corpus's mainstream in **both symmetry (groups) and curvature (geometry)**.

### 5. Connection to the Corpus

---

This paper gives the intuition the corpus keeps meeting — "rich structure emerges from weak assumptions" — a **rigorous champion** in Hidehiko Yamabe. The same depth touched separately by Ignatowski (83), Galois→Lie→Noether (85), and Gleason (71) was stated most generally in the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem. It adds no new theorem or prediction. Its contribution is only to graft, onto the corpus's "weak assumptions → symmetry" lineage, its mathematical matrix (Yamabe / Hilbert's fifth), and to place Yamabe's curvature work (the Yamabe problem) as a second bridge to the corpus's curvature papers.

### The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a claim of mechanism)

In the corpus's lens (metaphor): the Universe OS does not write rich symmetry in from scratch; rather it is built so that, **given only very weak rules (continuity, homogeneity/isotropy), the smooth symmetry of a Lie group stands up inevitably**. That structure grows from few conventions — a thread this corpus has long felt — is guaranteed, as mathematics, by Yamabe's theorem. But **this carries the non-science label**. What is certain here is only the standard mathematics of the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem and the Yamabe problem. That a single Japanese mathematician who died at 37 left the world this depth — "continuous symmetry emerges from weak assumptions" — a life whose early close echoes Galois (Paper 85), we record with quiet respect.

## 6. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

---

### What is shown (descriptive, lineage-level):

- The deepest mathematical statement of "continuous symmetry (a Lie group) emerges from weak assumptions" is the Yamabe / Hilbert's-fifth solution (§2).
- This is the general form of Ignatowski (83) — one lineage, a different stage (distinct roots, §3).
- Yamabe's Yamabe problem (constant scalar curvature in a conformal class) is a second bridge to the corpus's curvature papers (67/07/60) (§4).

### What is not shown (restraint):

- **Hilbert's fifth and the Yamabe problem are not solved or extended.** All are borrowed standard results; this paper only places a lineage and an interpretation.
- **No new mathematics or theorem, and no new prediction.** Hence Layer 2 (structural, lineage-level). The OS is Layer-3 non-science.
- **No conflation.** (i) Gleason–Yamabe (Hilbert's fifth) and Gleason's theorem (71, quantum) are distinct theorems by the same person. (ii) The group-theoretic result of Hilbert's fifth and the Yamabe problem (curvature) are the same person's different works. (iii) Ignatowski's kinematics and Yamabe's topology are a lineage of an idea, not an identity of theorems.

## 7. Conclusion

---

"Rich structure emerges inevitably, though almost nothing is assumed" — this astonishment the corpus keeps meeting was stated most deeply in Hidehiko Yamabe's work. The solution of Hilbert's fifth problem (Gleason–Montgomery–Zippin–Yamabe) shows that **a locally Euclidean topological group is automatically a Lie group, without assuming**

**differentiability.** The smooth structure of a continuous symmetry is forced by weak topological assumptions. This is the deep matrix, in pure mathematics, of what Ignatowski (83) saw in kinematics (one lineage, a different stage).

And through the gift of curvature — the Yamabe problem — Yamabe touched the corpus's other mainstream (**4 $\pi$** , Ricci flow, velocity-space curvature) as well. What this paper did is not to make a new claim but to graft, onto the corpus's "weak assumptions  $\rightarrow$  symmetry" thread, its rigorous matrix and one great mathematician, with the correct distinct-roots lines drawn. That the world is richly determined from few conventions — that depth we record, together with the name of a mathematician who left us at thirty-seven.

## References

---

1. Yamabe, H. (1953). *On an arcwise connected subgroup of a Lie group*. Osaka Math. J. 13, 45–56.
2. Yamabe, H. (1960). *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*. Osaka Math. J. 12, 21–37.
3. Gleason, A. M. (1952). *Groups without small subgroups*. Ann. of Math. 56, 193–212.
4. Montgomery, D. and Zippin, L. (1955). *Topological Transformation Groups*. Interscience.
5. Tao, T. (2014). *Hilbert's Fifth Problem and Related Topics*. Amer. Math. Soc.
6. Aubin, T. (1976). *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe*. J. Math. Pures Appl. 55, 269–296.
7. Schoen, R. (1984). *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 20, 479–495.
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Why Does a “Maximum Speed” Exist?* [Paper 83]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21741190.
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *From Galois to Noether* [Paper 85]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21741344.
10. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Distinct-Roots Discipline for Numerical Coincidences* [Papers 58/48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21597747; 10.5281/zenodo.21602525

---

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

**Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)**