

"Dimension" Is Not One Thing — Mandelbrot's Fractal Dimension and Not Conflating the Corpus's Special Dimensions (From the Roughness of Markets) — [Paper 94]

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)
Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan
August 2026

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

ABSTRACT

The word "dimension" appears again and again in the corpus — the exponent $n = 2$ that forces the inverse-square law in 3D (Paper 01), the distribution of special dimensions over 3/4/5 (Paper 64), the overdetermination of why 3+1 (Paper 69). But is "dimension" **one concept**? Benoît Mandelbrot (1924–2010) showed it is not — the **fractal dimension**. Self-similar figures (the Koch curve, coastlines, clouds) carry a **non-integer dimension** that integers cannot capture. If a self-similar set consists of N similar copies scaled by ratio r , its dimension is

$$D = \frac{\log N}{\log(1/r)},$$

and for the Koch curve $D = \log 4 / \log 3 \approx 1.26$ — **between** a line (1) and a plane (2). This is not "the number of independent directions (topological dimension = integer)" but a **scaling exponent of how a set fills space — its roughness**. This paper uses Mandelbrot's viewpoint as a **tool to sort the corpus's "dimensions."** **Topological dimension (integer, number of directions), Hausdorff/fractal dimension (non-integer, scaling), and the corpus's special integer dimensions (3+1; 3/4/5 = Papers 69/64) are distinct roots merely sharing the word "dimension" (Papers 58/48).** In particular — the fractal dimension **1.26** and the corpus's special dimensions $n = 2$ or **3 must not be linked just because both are "dimension"** (numerology forbidden). Mandelbrot was also the pioneer of the fractal analysis of financial price series (fat tails), which intersects directly with the author's origin (markets, quantitative analysis). **Scope:** a Layer-2 structural interpretation of standard fractal geometry; no new mathematics and no new prediction. It does not "predict" markets (Mandelbrot's work is descriptive/critical). The Universe OS is a Layer-3 non-science lens.

Positioning of this paper (discipline): This paper is a **structural interpretation** of established mathematics (fractal geometry, Hausdorff dimension). It contains no new mathematics and no new prediction. The point is not to conflate, under the shared word "dimension," the fractal dimension, the topological dimension, and the corpus's special integer dimensions. **The non-integer value of a fractal dimension (e.g. 1.26) must not be linked to the corpus's special numbers ($n = 2$, dimension 3, 4π)** (numerology forbidden; Papers 58/48). The fractal analysis of markets is not offered as a predictive tool. "Universe OS" is a Layer-3 non-science lens, not a claim of mechanism.

1. Introduction: What Does the Word "Dimension" Point To?

The corpus has spoken of "dimension" repeatedly — $n = 2$ (01), the distribution of special dimensions $3/4/5$ (64), why $3+1$ (69). But when we say "dimension," is it always the same concept? Since Euclid, dimension meant "the number of independent directions" — an integer. Benoît Mandelbrot broke this naïve equation — **a dimension can be non-integer**.

This paper builds no new theorem. It introduces Mandelbrot's fractal dimension as a different kind of "dimension," and uses it to sort the several "dimensions" in the corpus so that they are **not conflated under one word**. It also records, with discipline, the point where Mandelbrot intersects the author's origin (markets).

2. Fractal Dimension: Between a Line and a Plane

Standard Fact (self-similarity dimension, Hausdorff dimension)

When a self-similar set consists of N similar copies scaled by ratio r ($0 < r < 1$), its **similarity dimension** is

$$D = \frac{\log N}{\log(1/r)}.$$

The Koch curve has $N = 4$, $r = 1/3$, so $D = \log 4 / \log 3 \approx 1.2619$. More generally the **Hausdorff dimension** rigorously defines a dimension that can take non-integer values. Coastlines, clouds, trees, and turbulence — nature is full of a **roughness that integers cannot capture**.

What a fractal dimension measures is not "the number of independent directions." It is **how much structure is packed into fine detail — how length or area scales under magnification — the way a set fills space, its roughness**. The Koch curve is, as a direction count, a curve in the plane (topological dimension 1), but it folds in so densely that, in the scaling of length, it behaves **1.26-dimensionally**. This is a new kind of "dimension."

3. A Census of "Dimension": Three Distinct Roots

In Mandelbrot's view, what the word "dimension" points to splits into at least three distinct concepts.

Which "dimension"	What it measures	Value	Related papers
Topological dimension	number of independent directions	integer (line 1, plane 2, space 3)	69 (3+1)
Fractal dimension	roughness / scaling exponent	non-integer (e.g. 1.26)	this paper
Special integer dimension	a dimension where a property is distinguished	integer (3, 4, 5, ...)	64, 69

Observation 3.1 (same "dimension," distinct roots)

These three share the word "dimension" but are **distinct roots measuring different things** (Papers 58/48). Topological dimension is a **count of directions**, fractal dimension a **scaling of roughness**, and the corpus's special dimensions (64/69) are **integer dimensions where something stands out**. In particular — **the non-integer value of a fractal dimension (1.26 etc.) must not be linked to the corpus's special numbers ($n = 2$, dimension 3, 4π)**. That would be numerology between values of different concepts, the very error the corpus has most warned against. What is shared is only the **word**; what must not be conflated is **each concept**.

4. Mandelbrot and Markets: Intersection with the Author's Origin

Mandelbrot was a geometer and, at the same time, a pioneering analyst of the **roughness of financial markets**. He showed that fluctuations of cotton prices and the like carry not a normal distribution but **fat tails (power-law tails)** and **scale-invariant roughness** (1963), and later summarized a fractal view of markets in *The (Mis)Behavior of Markets* (2004). Against a picture of smooth, mild markets, Mandelbrot drew markets that are **wild, self-similar, and full of extreme events**. This intersects directly with the author's origin — markets and quantitative analysis. The stance of reading hidden structure out of wild price series resonates with the corpus's inverse-problem posture (Paper 89). **But discipline:** the fractal view of markets is mainly a **descriptive/critical** insight; this paper does **not offer it as a predictive tool**.

5. Connection to the Corpus

The corpus's work on dimension ($n = 2 = 01$, the distribution of special dimensions = 64, why $3+1 = 69$) has dealt mainly with **integer (topological/spatial) dimensions**. Mandelbrot's fractal dimension measures a **different axis** — the scaling of roughness — a third "dimension." The contribution of this paper is not a new theorem but to apply **Mandelbrot's viewpoint to the corpus's word "dimension" and sort the three distinct roots (topological, fractal, special-integer)**. This stands on the same discipline as Paper 91 (distinct roots of curvature) and Paper 92 (distinct roots under one alphabet): "one word, several distinct roots."

The Author's Personal Note (Layer 3, non-science label / not a claim of mechanism)

The author's origin in physics is in markets (FX, quantitative analysis) — the work of reading, out of wild price series, hidden structure rather than luck. Mandelbrot is a forerunner who gave that market roughness the geometric word "fractal." In the corpus's lens (metaphor), the "roughness" of the Universe OS's world too seems to carry a scaling by resolution, and markets, nature, and spacetime seem to share the same self-similar pattern. But **this carries the non-science label**. "The OS's roughness" and "everything is a fractal" are pictures that carry intuition, not mechanisms or predictions. **What is certain here is only that a fractal dimension is, as standard mathematics, a "dimension" distinct from the integer dimensions.** For one who walked from markets into physics, Mandelbrot is a source, and to his wild geometry we record quiet respect.

6. Scope (What Is Shown, and What Is Not)

What is shown (descriptive, classificatory):

- A fractal dimension (non-integer, scaling of roughness) is a kind of "dimension" distinct from the topological dimension (integer, count of directions) (§2).
- "Dimension" splits into at least three distinct roots: topological, fractal, and the corpus's special-integer dimension (§3, Papers 58/48).
- Mandelbrot is the pioneer of the fractal analysis of markets, intersecting the author's origin (§4).

What is not shown (restraint):

- **No numerology.** The non-integer value of a fractal dimension is not linked to the corpus's special numbers ($n = 2, 3, 4\pi$). **This is the paper's most important prohibition.**
- **No market prediction.** The fractal view of markets is a descriptive/critical insight, not offered as a predictive tool.
- **No new mathematics or prediction.** Fractal geometry and the Hausdorff dimension are standard. Hence Layer 2 (structural, classificatory). The OS is Layer-3 non-science.

7. Conclusion

Under the single word "dimension," a different concept was in fact folded in. Mandelbrot's fractal dimension is a **dimension as a scaling exponent of roughness, not as a count of directions** — like the Koch curve's **1.26**, between a line and a plane. This is a **different axis** from the integer special dimensions the corpus has treated (01/64/69).

So the discipline is kept: **topological, fractal, and special-integer dimensions are distinct roots merely sharing a word**, and in particular the non-integer value of a fractal dimension must not be linked to the corpus's special numbers (numerology forbidden). What this paper did is not a new claim but to apply Mandelbrot's viewpoint to the corpus's "dimension" and sort the three distinct roots. And Mandelbrot, who gave wild markets the language of geometry, quietly joins the sources of an author who walked from markets into physics. Wildness too has a pattern — to that other kind of dimension, we return one address.

References

1. Mandelbrot, B. B. (1967). *How Long Is the Coast of Britain? Statistical Self-Similarity and Fractional Dimension*. Science 156, 636–638.
2. Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982). *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*. W. H. Freeman.
3. Mandelbrot, B. B. (1963). *The Variation of Certain Speculative Prices*. Journal of Business 36, 394–419.
4. Mandelbrot, B. B. and Hudson, R. L. (2004). *The (Mis)Behavior of Markets: A Fractal View of Financial Turbulence*. Basic Books.
5. Falconer, K. (2003). *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications* (2nd ed.). Wiley (Hausdorff dimension).
6. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Uniqueness Theorem of $n = 2$ for Spherically Symmetric Density Distributions* [Paper 01]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21403186.
7. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Special-Dimension Distribution Theorem* [Paper 64]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21616221.
8. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *The Dimension Census* [Paper 69]. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.21650011.
9. Yamagishi, Y. (2026). *Distinct-Roots Discipline for Numerical Coincidences* [Papers 58/48]. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.21597747; 10.5281/zenodo.21602525

— *From thinking to syncing.* —

Yuuki YAMAGISHI (山岸 勇輝)

© 2026 Yamagishi Complexity Torus Lab, Japan · Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)